

Private
STAFF SUMMARY OF MEETING

OTHER COMMITTEE COMMITTEE ON JOINT INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSIONS

Attendance

Aislinn Kottwitz	*
Amber	X
McReynolds	X
Blanca Uzeta	X
O'Leary	X
Constance	X
Hass	X
Danny Moore	X
Elizabeth	X
Wilkes	X
Gary Horvath	X
Heather Barry	X
Hunter Barnett	X
Jason Kelly	X
John Buckley	X
Jolie Brawner	X
JulieMarie	X
Shepherd	X
Macklin	X
Kevin Fletcher	X
Lori Schell	X
Martha	X
Coleman	X
Moussa	X
Diawara	X
Paula Espinoza	X
Robin	X
Schepper	X
Samuel	X
Greenidge	X
Simon Tafoya	X
William Leone	X
Carlos Perez	X
Carly Hare	X

Date 08/24/2021
 Time 07:10:59 PM to 09:41:34 PM
 Place Commerce City
 This Meeting was called to order by
 Jolie Brawner
 This Report was prepared by
 Matthu Beck

X = Present, E = Excused, A = Absent, * = Present after roll call

Hearing Items Action Taken

Expand All Items

Collapse All Items

07:11:09 PM

Chair Barry gave opening remarks on Adams County and Chair Brawner introduced the informational video on redistricting.

07:29:23 PM

Issamar Pichardo, representing Conservation Colorado/Protégete, testified. She spoke on her experience working with the community on environmental issues and asked that the commission keep Commerce City whole in CD8.

07:34:25 PM

Justine Sandoval, representing Cobalt, testified. She spoke on the issues that Cobalt works on in the state and asked that Adams County and Commerce City be kept as whole as possible.

07:37:19 PM

Ms. Susan Noble, representing self, testified. She spoke about the environmental concerns in the area and gave her thoughts on the legislative maps. Commissioner Schell asked a follow-up question on what communities to include with Commerce City.

07:41:40 PM

Mr. Mike Cortes, representing CLLARO (Colorado Latino Leadership Advocacy and Research Organization), testified. He distributed a packet of information and maps to the commission (Attachment A). He spoke on the map submitted by CLLARO and changes that they made.



07:48:11 PM

Mrs. Lori Goldstein, representing self, testified. She stated concerns with the current state house and senate maps as they run through Adams and Jefferson Counties and asked that the State Senate district be split at the county line. Commissioners asked follow-up questions on how districts being split across counties may affect school funding.

07:53:51 PM

Victor Galvan, representing United for a New Economy Colorado, testified. He described the work United for a New Economy Colorado does. He asked the the commission consider the Latino community of interest in Adams County when drawing both congressional and state legislative maps. Commissioner Schell asked follow-up questions on what areas are part of the community of interest near Commerce City.

08:00:10 PM

Mr. Pedro Gonzalez, representing self, testified. He stated that it was important to keep the Latino community in the area together in districts to not dilute their voice.

08:04:11 PM

Ms. Kate Miya, representing self, testified. She spoke on the importance of the Latino population being properly represented in her city of Thornton as well as all of Adams County.

08:08:21 PM

Mr. Alex Apodaca-Cobell, representing CLLARO (Colorado Latino Leadership Advocacy and Research Organization), testified. He mentioned that the organization had submitted a map that had 30 districts with thirty percent Latino population or more in the state house and senate maps. Commissioners asked follow-up questions regarding the competitiveness formula used for submitted maps and asked for insight on the Hispanic and Latino communities in the state.

08:27:16 PM

Craig Sullivan, representing self, testified. He testified regarding state Senate District 29 and concerns with Thornton being split. He asked that Thornton and Northglenn be grouped if possible as well.

08:29:56 PM

Dr. Ernestine Garcia, representing self, testified. She reiterated previous testimony for considering the Latino population in the area a community of interest and for keeping the Latino community including Brighton, Thornton, Commerce City, and other northern communities together in CD8. She submitted a written copy of her testimony (Attachment B).

08:35:57 PM

Representative Adrienne Benavidez, representing self, testified. She reiterated previous testimony on the latino population being a community of interest in the area. She spoke on issues important to the Latino population and why they need a strong voice in representation.

08:39:46 PM

Mr. Gil Reyes, representing self, testified. He testified that the maps proposed by CLLARO are the only maps currently submitted using up-to-date data and that the preliminary plan underestimated the growth in Latino population.

08:44:25 PM


Maria Gonzalez, representing Adelante Community Development, testified. She spoke on the importance of the small business community and how important it is to keep Commerce City whole.

08:53:08 PM

Sophie Thomas, representing self, testified. She asked that Commerce City be placed with Brighton and Thornton in a congressional district.

08:54:35 PM

Ms. Kathy Henson, representing self, testified. She asked that Adams County be kept whole in CD8. She spoke about the economic development and transportation advancements in the area.



08:58:15 PM

Mrs. Clara Jane Banzin, representing self, testified. She spoke on how Westminster can be isolated from other parts of Adams County and that they fit better with Jefferson County.

09:08:22 PM

Ms. Lynne Spence, representing self, testified. She spoke about the the average age in different Jefferson County and Adams County communities and spoke on how settled families live differently than renters. She submitted a copy of her shared information (Attachment C).

09:11:32 PM

Gena Ozols, representing COLOR (Colorado Organization for Latina Opportunity and Reproductive Rights), testified. She spoke on how gentrification has been a concern and how the Latino population has been under represented in the area. She asked that the map drawing process start in densely populated areas first.

09:13:49 PM

Mr. Rudy Gonzales, representing Servicios de La Raza, testified. He reiterated previous testimony that Commerce City should be in CD8 with Thornton and other unincorporated areas in Adams County. He also spoke on how it's important to have one federal representative to fight on behalf of the Latino community.

09:20:06 PM

Mr. Guillermo Serna, representing self, testified. He testified that Commerce City has never been prioritized and has been previously placed in districts that didn't have it's best interests in mind.

09:25:54 PM

Hector Porras, representing self, testified. He spoke on the strong Latino population in Commerce City and how it is important to have focused representation.

09:27:45 PM


Salvador Hernandez, representing Mi Familia Vota, testified. He reiterated previous support that Commerce City should be kept whole and spoke on the work he does with Mi Familia Vota.

09:32:29 PM

Ms. Julie Carr, representing self, testified. She spoke on how the maps effect Colorado Springs and how Southeast Colorado Springs neighborhoods need to be kept together on State House and Senate House Districts.

09:41:34

PM The committee adjourned.





A

CLLARO

COLORADO LATINO LEADERSHIP
ADVOCACY & RESEARCH ORGANIZATION

TO: Members of the Colorado Independent Legislative Redistricting Commission and Commission Staff


FROM: Colorado Latino Leadership, Advocacy & Research Organization (CLLARO)

SUBJECT: Proposed Colorado State Senate Plan, *Updated August 20, 2021*

Summary

This memorandum and attachments provide context and information about the **updated** Colorado Senate maps submitted by CLLARO to the Colorado Independent Legislative Redistricting Commission. The map was developed by centering and prioritizing Colorado's communities of interest, with particular focus on Latino/Hispanic communities across the state. Of the 35 proposed districts, 9 are 30 percent or more Latino. Additionally, 8 districts are competitive according to recent election results.¹ CLLARO prioritized 13 districts in this plan that are centered on different Latino communities across the state. District lines and their descriptions in this memo have been updated based on the 2020 census data. Adjustments made to the districts required by 2020 census data reduced the number of districts that are 30 percent or more Latino from 10 to 9, with an additional district being 29 percent Latino. This is still more than the Preliminary map, which includes only 7 such districts. The same adjustments did not change the number of competitive districts. Competitiveness in this plan is defined as a margin of less than 10 percent between the parties in recent election results.¹

CLLARO worked to preserve Latino communities of interest throughout Colorado in accordance with criteria outlined in the Colorado constitution so that those communities will have the opportunity to elect candidates who are most equipped to address their public policy issues, challenges, and needs. Generally, CLLARO tried to keep counties, cities, and towns together as reasonably possible unless keeping a community of interest whole required a split in any counties, cities, and towns. CLLARO also considered compactness in drafting this plan. CLLARO prioritized competitiveness after preceding criteria as required. More details regarding the constitutional criteria are provided in the previous memo accompanying CLLARO's Colorado Senate map submission on August 10, 2021.



Plan

Attachment A provides detailed maps of CLLARO's proposed Colorado Senate plan. Attachment B provides population summaries and the percentage by race and ethnicity in each district. Attachment C shows election results for the 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General elections, as well as a composite of those election results.

Full Plan: <https://davesredistricting.org/join/bea6156b-95ec-4c9e-959e-a80d9438b437>

Data Overview

CLLARO has used 2020 census data to draw the districts in this plan. The 3.51 percent population deviation is within the legal threshold. CLLARO also attempted to account for the reallocation of prisoner populations to their home addresses using the limited available data but stayed within the allowed 5 percent deviation.

District Profiles

The following is an individual breakdown of each district pertaining to the criteria listed above:

Senate District 1 (Eastern Plains)

Senate District (SD1) is made up entirely of counties on the eastern plains as well as Elbert County, which is still largely rural, and the rural areas of Weld County. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 2 (Central Mountains, Rural El Paso, Fountain, Air Force Academy, Fort Carson)

Senate District (SD2) is based in the central mountain valleys and includes the entire counties of Park, Teller, Fremont, and Custer, as well as the rural portion of El Paso County, the City of Fountain, the Airforce Academy, and Fort Carson. SD2 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 3 (Pueblo) - **CLLARO Priority**

Senate District (SD3) contains nearly all of Pueblo County. At 42.7 percent Latino, the district is strongly Latino influenced. This district is majority-minority and has the second highest Native population in the state at 5.7 percent. SD3 is competitive, with Democratic candidates winning 50.4 percent to 45 percent in recent elections.¹ Only 3 percent of the population of Pueblo County is foreign born, compared to 15 percent in Denver, Arapahoe, and Adams. Just over 15 percent of residents speak a language other than English at home, with Spanish being by far the most prevalent.

Senate District 4 (Parker, Castle Rock)

Senate District (SD4) contains the entire City of Parker as well as the entire City of Castle Rock. The district is made up entirely of the urbanized areas in Douglas County. SD4 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 5 (Roaring Fork Valley, Summit, Lake) - **CLLARO Priority**

Senate District (SD5) contains the entire Roaring Fork Valley as well as the remainder of Pitkin and Eagle Counties, the City of Rifle in Garfield, and all of Summit and Lake Counties. The Latino population on the western slope is largely concentrated here, with Latinos making up 26.8 percent of the district. Of the school districts within SD5, Eagle County Schools, Roaring Fork School District, and Garfield School District 2 are now minority-majority. This region is linked economically by the tourism and hospitality industry, and it also has the common issue of wildfires. The Latino communities in the tri-county region are a community of interest. We are connected by the I-70 and Hwy 82 corridors. SD5 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 6 (Chaffee, Gunnison, Montrose, Delta, southwest counties)

Senate District (SD6) stretches from Chaffee County in the east to the Utah border in the west. It contains southern Mesa County and Montezuma County, excluding the southern extent of the county where the Native population is

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

concentrated. Gunnison, Delta, Chaffee, Montrose, Ouray, San Miguel, and Dolores counties remain whole in the district as well. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 7 (Grand Junction, Parachute, Moffat, Rio Blanco)

Senate District (SD7) is based in the northwest corner of the state. It contains all of Moffat and Rio Blanco Counties, as well as Garfield County east of Rifle and Mesa County to the north from Grand Junction. The region is linked economically and excludes the more tourism-dependent communities to the east. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 8 (Routt, Grand, Jackson, Estes Park, Gilpin, Clear Creek, rural Larimer/Boulder/Jeffco)

Senate District (SD8) is based in the mountainous counties in central-north Colorado. The area is largely rural with many communities sitting along the Urban-Wildlife Interface and sharing the issue of wildfires. Tourism and gambling are large industries here as well. The district excludes communities with more reliance on extractive industries. The district is not considered competitive, with Democratic candidates carrying the district 53 to 42.6 in recent elections.¹

Senate District 9 (Northern/Eastern El Paso County)

Senate District (SD9) contains the rural eastern portion of El Paso County as well as the northern stretches of Colorado Springs and exurban communities to the north. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 10 (Colorado Springs)

Senate District (SD10) is based in north and east Colorado Springs, largely north of Platte Avenue and east of Union Boulevard. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 11 (Colorado Springs, Manitou Springs)

Senate District (SD11) is based in western Colorado Springs, set against the foothills. The district contains Manitou Springs, which has strong ties to the western portion of the city. SD11 also contains the central area of Colorado Springs, including University of Colorado - Colorado Springs (UCCS). The district is highly competitive, with Republican candidates winning 48.6 percent to 46.5 percent in recent elections.¹

Senate District 12 (Colorado Springs) - *CLLARO Priority*

Senate District (SD12) contains eastern and southern Colorado Springs as well as the unincorporated communities to the southeast. The district contains the city's most Latino and Black populated neighborhoods and is majority-minority. The district is 29.3% Latino and 14.6% Black. SD12 is highly competitive, with Democratic candidates winning 47.7 percent to 45.6 in recent elections.¹

Senate District 13 (Brighton, Greeley Hwy-85 Corridor) - *CLLARO Priority*

Senate District (SD13) contains the communities along the Highway 85 corridor, from Greeley to Brighton. These are traditionally agricultural communities that have seen rapid growth in recent years. The Highway 85 corridor is also the most heavily Latino portion of Weld County. The district is majority-minority with Latinos making up 46.8 percent of the population. This district maintains the split of east and west Greeley as in the House of Representatives map. The district includes most of Brighton, except for the newer more exurban portion of the city to the east, which remains in an Adams County based district. SD13 is competitive, with Republican candidates winning 49.4 percent to 45.2 percent in recent elections.¹

Senate District 14 (Fort Collins)

Senate District (SD14) is made up entirely of the City of Fort Collins. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 15 (Fort Collins-Greeley suburbs)

Senate District (SD15) is centered on the communities around the northern Front Range, including: Loveland,

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

Windsor, Severance, Eaton, and western Greeley. These communities are growing rapidly and are economically linked along the Hwy 34 corridor. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 16 (Denver, Aurora, Greenwood Village, Cherry Hills Village, Tech Center)

Senate District (SD16) is based in the tech center portions of both Denver and Arapahoe Counties and includes the moderate-income neighborhoods of southeast Denver, Cherry Hills Village, Greenwood Village, urban unincorporated Arapahoe, and the excess population of Aurora that could not be contained in the other Senate seats. While the district is 58.3 percent White, it is also highly diverse, with large Latino (15.7 percent), Black (15.9 percent), and Asian-American/Pacific Islander (AAPI) (8.8 percent) communities. SD16 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 17 (Longmont, Erie, Lafayette)

Senate District (SD17) contains the entire cities of Longmont, Erie and Lafayette in both Boulder and Weld Counties. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 18 (Boulder, Louisville, Superior)

Senate District (SD18) contains the entire cities of Boulder, Louisville, and Superior in Boulder County. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 19 (Arvada, Westminster)

Senate District (SD19) contains nearly all the City of Arvada and the Jeffco portion of Westminster as it has in the past. The district is not considered competitive, with Democratic candidates winning 52.8 percent to 42.6 percent in recent elections,¹ however, the current district voted 53.1 percent to 42.2 percent for Democratic candidates by the same metric and switched parties twice in the past decade.

Senate District 20 (Wheat Ridge, Golden, Jeffco foothills)

Senate District (SD20) contains the entire City of Wheat Ridge as well as the City of Golden. The district also contains the largest communities in the Jeffco foothills and the western and southwestern portions of Arvada that are not within SD19. The district is not considered competitive, voting 53.7 percent for Democratic candidates compared to 41.9 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

Senate District 21 (Commerce City, Aurora, Unincorporated Adams) - *CLLARO Priority*

Senate District (SD21) remains a majority-minority district and 48.2 percent of the population is Latino. The district stretches from the urban unincorporated neighborhoods in southwest Adams County to the Adams County portion of the City of Aurora, as well as the rural portion of the county. SD21 is more cohesive economically and is home to many airport workers as it surrounds Denver International Airport. The district is largely economically distressed as well, according to indicators like rent-to-income ratio. SD21 is home to many immigrant communities, with the foreign-born population ranging from 15.7 percent in Commerce City to 23.7 percent in the unincorporated community of Welby in southwest Adams County. This area is also linguistically diverse, with 33 percent of Commerce City residents and 40 percent of residents in southwest Adams County speaking a language other than English at home. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 22 (Lakewood, Edgewater) - *CLLARO Priority*

Senate District (SD22) is nearly the entire City of Lakewood as well as Edgewater. These two communities have the largest Latino populations by percentage in Jeffco, leaving the district at 22.9 percent Latino overall. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 23 (Broomfield, I-25 corridor)

Senate District (SD23) runs from the City and County of Broomfield to the Town of Johnstown, running along I-25. The Towns of Mead, Miliken, Dacono, Frederick, Berthoud, and Firestone all remain whole in this district. SD23 is competitive, with Republican candidates winning 49.3 percent to 46.3 percent in recent elections.¹

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

Senate District 24 (Westminster, Thornton, Todd Creek) - *CLLARO Priority*

Senate District (SD24) contains the entire City of Westminster in Adams County, as well as Thornton, mostly north of 128th Avenue, and Todd Creek. The district remains diverse, and Latino influenced at 30.6 percent. The district is not considered competitive, with Democratic candidates winning 53.7 percent to 41.9 percent in recent elections¹

Senate District 25 (Thornton, Federal Heights, Northglenn) - *CLLARO Priority*

Senate District (SD25) remains majority-minority and Latinos make up 46 percent of the population. The district is based in "Original" Thornton, taking in most of the city south of 128th Avenue, as well as the cities of Northglenn and Federal Heights, and a portion of the unincorporated community of Welby. This area has close economic ties and is demographically similar in terms of income as well. SD25 contains Riverdale Regional Park & Fairgrounds, where the Adams County Fair is located. This event has increasing significance to the local Latino community, with Dia de La Familia being the most well attended day of the fair each year. A significant number of residents speak a language other than English at home, especially in the communities and neighborhoods in the southern end of the district. SD25 is not considered competitive, with Democratic candidates winning 55.9 percent to 38.6 percent in recent elections.¹

Senate District 26 (Littleton, Columbine, Sheridan, Englewood)

Senate District (SD26) contains the entire City of Littleton as well as the surrounding suburban communities in Arapahoe and Jeffco Counties. Littleton is strongly associated with Sheridan and Englewood, with strong ties between their school districts. The district is competitive, with Democratic candidates winning 50.9 percent to 44.7 percent in recent elections.¹

Senate District 27 (Centennial, Lone Tree)

Senate District (SD27) contains the entire City of Centennial and the entire City of Lone Tree. This district is cohesive, being moderately high-income and south suburban, centered near the tech center. There is also a large AAPI population at 10.5 percent. The district is competitive, with Democratic candidates winning 50.4 percent to 45.9 percent in recent elections.¹

Senate District 28 (Aurora)

Senate District (SD28) is centered in southern Aurora. The district is majority-minority, with large Latino, Black, and AAPI communities making up 22.5, 17.8 and 9.2 percent respectively. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 29 (Aurora) - *CLLARO Priority*

Senate District (SD29) contains central and southeastern Aurora with Colfax Avenue to the north, going all the way to the Douglas County line in the south. The district also includes the rural portion of Arapahoe County. The district remains majority-minority and highly diverse, and Latinos make up 32.5 percent of the population. African-Americans also make up a large population in this district at nearly 19.2 percent. The district is also 7.5 percent AAPI. SD29 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 30 (Highlands Ranch, rural Douglas)

Senate District (SD30) contains all of Highlands Ranch as well the rural and unincorporated exurban areas in Douglas County. The district is largely high-income. SD30 is not considered competitive, with Republican candidates winning 54.6 percent to 41.9 percent in recent elections.¹

Senate District 31 (Denver)

Senate District (SD31) contains the highest income neighborhoods around central and eastern Denver, as well as Capitol Hill and much of the Downtown area. The district is non-competitive according to recent elections.

Senate District 32 (Denver) - *CLLARO Priority*

Senate District (SD32) is based in southern Denver. At 30.3 percent, the district maintains its Latino influence and is

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

expected to enable Latino voters to select a candidate that meets their needs. The district contains Athmar Park, Valverde, Baker, and all southwest Denver neighborhoods south of Mississippi Avenue. SD32 contains many low- and moderate-income neighborhoods throughout this part of Denver. SD32 runs along the southern edge of Denver, including the University of Denver area, and contains the City of Glendale to the east. SD32 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 33 (Denver) - *CLLARO Priority*

Senate District (SD33) contains the historically Black neighborhoods of Denver’s Eastside as well as East Colfax, Central Park, and the far Northeast neighborhoods, which are also strongly Black and Latino by population. The district is highly diverse, being 35 percent White, 35 percent Latino, and 23 percent Black. SD33 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Senate District 34 (Denver) - *CLLARO Priority*

Senate District (SD34) remains based in west and northwest Denver and contains all of the traditionally Latino neighborhoods on Denver’s Northside. On the Westside, SD34 contains West Colfax, Lincoln Park/La Alma, as well as Barnum and Westwood. To the east, the district includes Globeville and Elyria Swansea, as well as Lower Downtown Denver (LoDo). Greatly impacted by gentrification over the past decade, the district barely remains majority-minority and is 39.5 percent Latino. SD34 is non-competitive according to recent elections.


Senate District 35 (San Luis Valley, Arkansas River Valley, Southwest corner) - *CLLARO Priority*


Senate District (SD35) is centered on the San Luis Valley and includes the strongly Latino counties in the Arkansas River Valley: Huerfano, Las Animas, and Otero. To the west, the district takes in both Ute Nations (Ute Mountain Ute and Southern Ute) as well as La Plata, San Juan, and Hinsdale Counties. At 27.9 percent, the district maintains its Latino/Hispanic influence. At 7.6 percent, this district also has the highest Native population in the state. SD35 is competitive, with Republican candidates winning 47.8 percent to 47.6 percent in recent elections.¹

Attachments

CLLARO Colorado Senate Map	Attachment A
Population Summary and Race and Ethnicity	Attachment B
Election Results (2016-2020)	Attachment C

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.





Pueblo County

35

3

Beulah Valley


Colorado City

Pueblo

Vineland

Boone

Fowler



El Paso County

Pikes Peak

Goodland Park

Palmer Lake

Mount Rosa

Colorado Springs

11

10

Cimarron Hills

Falcon

12

Fountain

2

Peyton

Ellicott

Calhan

9


Ramah

Rush


Montezuma County / La Plata County




Mesa County





South Metro Denver





North Metro Denver





Fort Collins

14

Loveland

FNL

Wetly

23

North Front Range

15

Severance

Milliken

Adna

1

Ault

13

Greeley


Eaton

La Salle

Evans

GXY

CLLARO



Denver

31

32

16

33

East Metro Denver

Aurora

21

29

28

27

BPK

Greenwood
Village

Foxfield

Piney Creek
Ranches

CLLARO

**Colorado Senate
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary**

Senate District	2010 Total Population	2010 White Population	2010 Latino Population	2010 Black Population	2010 AAPI Population	2010 Native Population	2010 Total VAP	2010 White VAP	2010 Latino VAP	2010 Black VAP
1	164,710	124,045	33,077	4,285	1,578	3,041	125,145	97,767	21,382	3,412
2	151,696	119,820	17,226	8,884	4,210	3,888	117,614	95,647	11,564	6,013
3	153,019	80,820	65,143	4,272	2,362	5,128	115,371	65,903	44,264	2,724
4	125,924	107,597	10,506	2,464	4,945	1,432	86,343	75,482	6,131	1,402
5	155,052	111,877	38,797	1,527	2,273	2,004	119,028	91,107	24,693	1,083
6	158,467	131,627	20,690	1,221	1,688	4,726	123,459	105,918	13,287	818
7	156,806	129,476	21,723	1,771	2,283	3,409	119,525	102,102	13,562	979
8	149,206	131,706	12,726	1,232	2,314	2,273	119,001	107,654	8,087	727
9	121,037	101,575	9,256	3,766	5,801	2,025	84,397	72,789	5,361	2,153
10	143,173	104,893	19,314	11,109	8,445	3,006	103,955	79,770	11,728	6,716
11	154,864	123,501	17,582	6,910	6,228	3,338	124,316	102,591	11,777	4,553
12	142,122	75,704	38,334	21,924	7,959	4,478	103,106	60,254	23,490	14,068
13	144,070	75,798	62,121	2,974	2,682	3,478	104,444	61,480	38,474	1,959
14	142,553	119,065	13,892	2,628	6,141	2,143	114,083	97,630	9,525	1,732
15	126,809	107,250	15,248	1,169	2,283	1,940	94,949	83,025	9,222	573
16	141,914	91,187	19,620	20,202	11,366	2,133	111,979	76,447	12,887	14,095
17	135,451	99,036	27,717	1,984	6,092	2,388	99,725	76,917	17,095	1,109
18	151,995	127,076	12,006	2,039	10,158	1,571	125,025	106,880	8,324	1,488
19	146,383	117,211	20,311	2,317	5,938	2,637	112,306	93,239	13,001	1,307
20	154,128	132,706	14,247	1,697	4,496	2,079	120,848	106,214	9,612	1,058
21	130,718	56,127	59,923	10,292	4,775	3,547	89,944	43,490	36,468	6,555
22	151,283	106,792	34,178	3,461	6,032	3,698	119,655	89,780	22,444	2,133
23	114,923	90,973	16,455	1,394	5,526	1,666	82,902	68,009	9,969	772
24	138,144	85,842	40,155	3,008	8,811	2,895	100,683	66,876	25,553	1,778
25	147,763	79,268	57,412	4,071	6,724	3,871	106,561	63,341	35,588	2,425
26	155,389	125,575	20,504	3,087	5,290	2,757	120,601	100,871	13,338	1,890
27	145,214	116,611	11,228	6,552	10,762	1,519	108,105	89,391	7,038	4,099
28	144,815	84,822	25,938	23,676	11,581	2,928	105,104	66,861	15,705	14,588
29	127,892	54,346	42,698	24,470	7,704	2,828	91,185	43,830	25,842	16,371
30	140,700	120,525	9,416	2,181	8,103	1,349	97,837	85,460	5,692	1,228

**Colorado Senate
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary**

Senate District	2010 Total Population	2010 White Population	2010 Latino Population	2010 Black Population	2010 AAPI Population	2010 Native Population	2010 Total VAP	2010 White VAP	2010 Latino VAP	2010 Black VAP
31	137,339	107,887	12,850	10,041	6,199	2,281	118,989	95,721	10,149	7,925
32	154,406	87,471	51,181	7,074	8,524	3,947	121,856	76,097	33,710	4,988
33	121,055	35,194	44,036	38,521	5,211	3,151	85,295	28,772	26,756	26,815
34	138,830	52,490	75,956	5,945	4,437	4,852	104,672	47,294	49,811	3,649
35	160,732	104,372	47,204	1,604	1,860	9,422	124,936	85,351	32,914	1,014

Colorado Senate
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary

Senate District	2010 AAPI VAP	2010 Native VAP	2020 Total Population	2020 White Population	2020 Latino Population	2020 Black Population	2020 AAPI Population	2020 Native Population	2020 Total VAP	2020 White VAP
1	1,050	2,288	167,514	119,476	36,922	4,300	2,368	5,933	129,285	95,962
2	2,770	2,737	167,729	121,681	23,205	11,267	6,452	6,537	134,213	100,741
3	1,566	3,617	161,933	80,384	69,168	5,846	3,359	9,265	125,831	67,222
4	2,918	865	165,275	129,317	17,639	4,691	10,161	4,102	120,592	97,226
5	1,607	1,402	166,038	112,447	44,544	1,945	3,622	4,496	130,382	93,338
6	1,126	3,167	165,900	130,754	22,929	1,941	2,668	7,767	133,298	108,747
7	1,533	2,346	165,617	127,322	25,967	2,482	3,718	6,484	130,139	103,729
8	1,496	1,582	166,066	138,005	16,919	2,091	3,941	4,486	135,104	115,553
9	3,399	1,278	166,567	126,201	17,904	7,417	11,120	5,082	122,316	96,321
10	5,387	1,857	164,617	109,192	27,823	14,240	11,245	6,325	125,323	87,433
11	4,386	2,392	163,916	121,004	22,299	9,163	8,227	6,010	135,044	103,483
12	5,336	2,890	163,309	80,250	47,931	23,836	10,476	7,825	122,154	65,360
13	1,894	2,377	163,701	74,087	76,687	5,166	4,825	7,172	120,874	61,006
14	4,449	1,526	166,120	127,976	20,356	4,290	9,435	4,683	135,838	107,378
15	1,398	1,246	165,520	129,731	24,264	2,798	4,324	5,337	127,727	103,926
16	8,300	1,476	164,151	95,627	25,779	26,051	15,178	4,489	131,798	81,490
17	3,989	1,555	166,324	115,735	33,750	3,147	9,544	5,003	127,775	93,168
18	7,666	1,181	166,286	128,837	16,031	3,310	14,306	3,008	139,757	110,801
19	4,030	1,759	165,092	123,704	25,477	3,652	8,117	5,796	131,563	102,057
20	3,067	1,465	166,137	134,560	17,730	2,617	6,535	4,613	134,072	111,214
21	3,203	2,283	164,014	61,151	79,090	13,578	8,698	7,231	117,617	48,756
22	4,325	2,502	165,444	109,375	37,953	5,082	9,084	6,686	135,672	94,593
23	3,582	1,088	164,683	120,519	27,191	2,985	9,918	4,813	124,313	94,402
24	5,929	1,899	163,452	92,882	50,024	4,772	12,799	6,495	124,654	75,490
25	4,547	2,598	163,881	70,921	75,438	5,664	9,487	7,559	122,588	59,301
26	3,565	1,945	165,467	124,651	24,552	4,478	7,434	5,427	132,994	103,838
27	7,219	1,001	164,916	118,921	16,533	8,829	17,912	3,720	127,624	95,157
28	7,973	1,819	163,323	80,602	36,812	29,122	16,191	5,669	124,063	66,630
29	5,322	1,854	163,667	65,048	53,263	31,454	13,596	5,988	119,815	52,087
30	4,976	843	163,810	128,972	13,551	3,276	14,540	3,445	122,002	99,018

**Colorado Senate
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary**

Senate District	2010 AAPI VAP	2010 Native VAP	2020 Total Population	2020 White Population	2020 Latino Population	2020 Black Population	2020 AAPI Population	2020 Native Population	2020 Total VAP	2020 White VAP
31	4,648	1,809	165,412	124,877	17,527	11,173	9,041	3,963	143,579	110,963
32	6,497	2,761	165,446	93,370	50,110	8,708	10,636	6,530	135,113	81,723
33	3,451	2,026	163,532	57,270	57,273	37,680	11,614	5,651	119,986	45,743
34	3,407	3,359	163,460	81,003	64,577	8,803	7,043	7,622	134,259	73,638
35	1,260	6,678	165,516	104,916	46,177	2,326	2,891	12,575	132,307	88,256

Colorado Senate
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary

Senate District	2020 Latino VAP	2020 Black VAP	2020 AAPI VAP	2020 Native VAP	2020 Latino Percentage	2020 Black Percentage	2020 AAPI Percentage	2020 Native Percentage
1	24,879	3,178	1,593	4,324	22%	3%	1%	4%
2	16,306	8,161	4,392	4,811	14%	7%	4%	4%
3	49,195	3,765	2,362	6,820	43%	4%	2%	6%
4	11,230	2,947	6,544	2,813	11%	3%	6%	2%
5	30,189	1,384	2,686	3,268	27%	1%	2%	3%
6	15,535	1,264	1,790	5,548	14%	1%	2%	5%
7	17,319	1,548	2,622	4,816	16%	1%	2%	4%
8	11,332	1,337	2,749	3,305	18%	1%	2%	3%
9	11,168	4,503	7,117	3,402	11%	4%	7%	3%
10	18,156	9,365	7,806	4,371	17%	9%	7%	4%
11	15,850	6,368	6,010	4,491	14%	6%	5%	4%
12	31,349	16,263	7,266	5,541	29%	15%	6%	5%
13	50,557	3,399	3,262	5,111	47%	3%	3%	4%
14	14,808	3,086	7,250	3,469	12%	3%	6%	3%
15	15,793	1,623	2,887	3,776	15%	2%	3%	3%
16	18,496	18,656	11,329	3,396	16%	16%	9%	3%
17	22,586	2,071	6,669	3,649	20%	2%	6%	3%
18	11,849	2,515	11,413	2,319	10%	2%	9%	2%
19	17,762	2,307	5,952	4,246	15%	2%	5%	4%
20	12,735	1,756	4,634	3,458	11%	2%	4%	3%
21	51,964	8,962	6,065	5,116	48%	8%	5%	4%
22	27,081	3,565	6,865	5,033	23%	3%	5%	4%
23	17,853	1,867	6,916	3,446	17%	2%	6%	3%
24	34,354	3,032	9,124	4,598	31%	3%	8%	4%
25	50,423	3,638	6,736	5,479	46%	3%	6%	5%
26	17,140	3,034	5,282	4,064	15%	3%	4%	3%
27	11,280	6,124	12,693	2,702	10%	5%	11%	2%
28	24,460	19,579	11,913	4,086	23%	18%	10%	3%
29	35,187	21,975	9,514	4,149	33%	19%	8%	4%
30	8,838	2,046	9,467	2,339	8%	2%	9%	2%

**Colorado Senate
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary**

Senate District	2020 Latino VAP	2020 Black VAP	2020 AAPI VAP	2020 Native VAP	2020 Latino Percentage	2020 Black Percentage	2020 AAPI Percentage	2020 Native Percentage
31	14,267	8,695	7,019	3,188	11%	7%	5%	2%
32	36,064	6,291	8,430	4,972	30%	5%	6%	4%
33	38,246	27,403	8,027	4,170	35%	23%	7%	3%
34	46,615	5,832	5,878	5,830	40%	5%	4%	5%
35	33,335	1,563	1,926	9,118	28%	1%	2%	8%
					9	4	6	2
					30%+ Latino	15%+ Black	8%+ AAPI	5%+ Native

Colorado Senate
Election Results (2016-2020)

Senate District	2016		2016		2016		2016		2018		2018		2018	
	President (Total)	President (Dem)	President (Rep)	Senate (Total)	Senate (Dem)	Senate (Rep)	Governor (Total)	Governor (Dem)	Governor (Rep)	Governor (Total)	Governor (Dem)	Governor (Rep)		
1	80,879	15,779	60,239	80,286	21,960	55,233	72,255	15,655	53,594					
2	70,039	19,491	44,810	69,558	22,243	43,245	61,000	20,816	37,284					
3	74,825	34,841	33,795	74,064	39,161	30,823	63,066	32,560	27,393					
4	81,527	27,523	46,371	80,725	28,395	48,194	76,228	29,135	44,122					
5	78,806	43,309	29,025	77,339	43,123	28,503	70,886	43,400	25,124					
6	88,822	30,246	51,950	88,221	33,176	50,181	81,461	33,404	45,179					
7	81,143	21,410	53,473	80,577	24,256	51,594	70,203	23,395	44,190					
8	99,389	48,427	42,502	98,823	49,552	42,933	93,319	51,447	38,696					
9	81,325	19,053	54,892	81,064	20,171	57,296	76,115	21,120	52,351					
10	76,512	23,459	44,861	75,861	24,990	46,227	64,646	24,043	37,573					
11	88,241	36,767	42,965	87,890	38,238	44,380	77,093	36,670	37,495					
12	52,747	22,357	24,760	52,063	23,484	24,180	42,277	21,052	18,605					
13	57,528	24,215	27,773	56,690	26,700	26,319	49,356	22,059	24,465					
14	89,296	50,475	29,134	87,786	50,332	31,516	80,221	52,323	25,390					
15	84,587	28,746	48,104	84,154	32,098	47,832	79,997	31,309	45,467					
16	78,892	47,947	25,132	78,302	47,928	26,815	70,876	45,417	23,444					
17	82,053	47,705	27,004	81,421	46,919	29,004	78,703	50,643	25,281					
18	100,915	79,020	15,071	99,207	74,316	17,442	92,902	76,932	14,092					
19	90,287	42,849	38,846	88,175	44,108	38,785	84,907	45,684	35,446					
20	100,650	49,871	41,835	98,564	50,103	42,900	94,738	51,727	39,492					
21	50,602	25,271	21,139	48,226	25,330	19,984	44,552	24,065	18,230					
22	86,045	46,385	31,788	83,905	46,661	31,639	78,662	46,817	28,414					
23	77,837	32,668	37,704	76,321	33,638	38,323	74,338	35,366	36,100					
24	72,174	35,894	30,016	69,291	36,038	29,387	67,279	36,662	27,862					
25	59,140	30,442	23,162	56,153	30,777	21,522	49,056	28,032	18,280					
26	93,881	43,493	41,559	92,521	44,870	42,639	85,754	44,341	38,107					
27	91,652	42,624	41,082	91,468	43,444	44,252	84,460	42,991	38,980					
28	72,978	39,008	27,356	72,352	40,092	28,114	63,428	37,419	23,480					
29	55,224	30,118	20,845	54,813	30,478	21,442	48,818	28,254	18,674					
30	92,539	35,817	49,141	92,341	36,281	52,380	87,572	36,822	48,244					

**Colorado Senate
Election Results (2016-2020)**

Senate District	2016 President (Total)	2016 President (Dem)	2016 President (Rep)	2016 Senate (Total)	2016 Senate (Dem)	2016 Senate (Rep)	2018 Governor (Total)	2018 Governor (Dem)	2018 Governor (Rep)
31	96,624	73,661	15,924	95,402	71,501	18,937	92,932	74,119	16,661
32	79,257	51,794	20,905	78,180	51,434	22,358	71,567	50,029	18,907
33	61,763	50,495	7,537	60,964	48,725	9,462	56,995	47,695	7,526
34	67,078	50,902	10,915	65,854	49,281	12,576	62,548	49,870	10,443
35	85,100	36,893	40,887	84,577	40,988	38,921	76,952	37,694	36,228

Colorado Senate
Election Results (2016-2020)

Senate District	2018		2020		2020		2020		2020	
	Attorney General (Total)	Attorney General (Dem)	Attorney General (Rep)	President (Total)	President (Dem)	President (Rep)	2020 Senate (Total)	Senate (Dem)	Senate (Rep)	
1	71,326	15,137	54,005	91,312	20,003	69,452	90,808	19,203	69,997	
2	60,401	19,619	38,381	82,806	28,474	51,783	82,137	27,394	52,128	
3	62,524	31,732	28,467	84,053	42,428	39,445	83,207	41,462	39,281	
4	75,297	26,698	46,147	103,659	43,849	57,000	103,486	40,748	60,640	
5	69,367	41,570	25,550	91,182	57,085	31,893	90,506	55,293	33,330	
6	80,203	31,635	46,034	104,926	42,863	59,829	104,092	41,319	60,498	
7	69,036	21,103	45,582	93,856	30,956	60,592	92,341	28,967	60,822	
8	91,906	49,009	39,896	114,530	64,348	47,233	112,317	61,257	48,766	
9	75,490	19,552	53,551	103,850	33,423	67,178	103,619	30,361	70,742	
10	64,124	22,606	38,852	90,127	37,179	49,359	89,561	34,855	51,593	
11	76,502	34,968	38,806	97,009	49,289	44,518	96,688	46,601	47,291	
12	42,092	20,478	19,361	63,477	32,237	28,210	62,861	31,013	28,613	
13	48,581	21,494	24,886	64,027	29,905	32,111	63,756	29,332	32,586	
14	78,885	49,102	27,260	97,931	65,415	29,339	94,039	60,439	31,349	
15	78,803	29,174	46,950	103,689	42,998	57,797	101,611	40,042	59,484	
16	70,168	43,676	24,758	88,835	60,626	26,123	88,554	58,243	28,593	
17	77,530	48,501	26,513	99,580	67,322	29,675	99,407	64,865	32,494	
18	91,503	74,522	15,076	103,655	86,970	14,748	103,251	84,714	16,995	
19	83,546	42,951	37,598	107,272	61,366	42,819	107,131	59,286	45,409	
20	93,230	48,803	41,465	113,045	65,905	44,035	113,043	63,317	47,540	
21	43,775	23,219	18,791	65,077	36,607	26,674	64,821	35,787	27,097	
22	77,564	44,364	30,400	99,141	62,241	34,039	98,902	60,410	36,085	
23	73,068	33,222	37,244	100,113	49,832	47,423	99,214	47,137	50,025	
24	66,058	34,750	29,015	88,979	50,853	35,735	88,695	48,925	37,581	
25	48,291	26,938	19,198	69,128	40,375	26,438	68,725	39,508	26,799	
26	84,544	41,516	40,307	106,021	58,805	44,302	105,824	56,336	47,309	
27	83,443	39,893	41,328	104,979	58,390	43,918	104,857	55,193	47,900	
28	62,813	35,711	24,921	84,418	52,124	29,949	83,872	49,979	31,544	
29	48,358	27,093	19,677	69,312	42,116	25,444	68,858	40,298	26,740	
30	86,413	33,543	50,638	109,964	51,813	55,721	110,114	48,377	60,184	

**Colorado Senate
Election Results (2016-2020)**

Senate District	2018	2018	2018	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020
	Attorney General (Total)	Attorney General (Dem)	Attorney General (Rep)	President (Total)	President (Dem)	President (Rep)	2020 Senate (Total)	2020 Senate (Dem)	2020 Senate (Rep)
31	92,034	72,197	17,879	110,135	90,901	16,954	110,023	88,202	20,210
32	70,956	48,521	20,238	89,996	65,166	22,437	89,848	63,599	24,335
33	56,483	46,804	8,230	77,342	65,253	10,522	77,201	64,105	11,565
34	61,840	48,793	11,004	85,658	69,535	14,130	85,407	67,938	15,818
35	75,899	36,648	36,768	98,014	47,796	47,802	97,131	46,704	48,170

Colorado Senate
Election Results (2016-2020)

Senate District	2016-2020 Composite (Total)	2016-2020 Composite (Dem)	2016-2020 Composite (Rep)	2016-2020 Composite Margin
1	80,912	17,686	60,054	-54%
2	70,927	22,835	44,513	-32%
3	73,623	37,095	33,114	6%
4	86,817	32,694	50,384	-21%
5	79,586	47,179	28,895	24%
6	91,166	35,193	52,167	-19%
7	81,200	24,950	52,705	-36%
8	101,614	53,846	43,313	11%
9	86,889	23,918	59,311	-43%
10	76,803	27,836	44,770	-23%
11	87,223	40,385	42,622	-3%
12	52,590	25,088	23,982	2%
13	56,653	25,608	27,983	-4%
14	88,036	54,660	28,964	31%
15	88,801	34,030	50,928	-20%
16	79,279	50,638	25,824	32%
17	86,426	54,283	28,345	31%
18	98,580	79,379	15,563	67%
19	93,553	49,368	39,823	11%
20	102,193	54,915	42,847	12%
21	52,820	28,367	21,955	13%
22	87,390	51,129	32,076	23%
23	83,453	38,634	41,112	-3%
24	75,436	40,505	31,573	12%
25	58,412	32,664	22,560	18%
26	94,744	48,230	42,354	6%
27	93,456	47,066	42,884	5%
28	73,310	42,372	27,568	21%
29	57,556	33,062	22,113	20%
30	96,486	40,433	52,723	-13%

**Colorado Senate
Election Results (2016-2020)**

Senate District	2016-2020 Composite (Total)	2016-2020 Composite (Dem)	2016-2020 Composite (Rep)	2016-2020 Composite Margin
31	99,519	78,427	17,764	63%
32	79,981	55,098	21,518	44%
33	65,123	53,833	9,150	71%
34	71,423	56,046	12,450	64%
35	86,164	40,982	41,182	0%
			Lean Dem	18
			Lean Rep	9
			Competitive	8



TO: Members of the Colorado Independent Legislative Redistricting Commission and Commission Staff

FROM: Colorado Latino Leadership, Advocacy & Research Organization (CLLARO)

SUBJECT: Proposed Colorado State House of Representatives Plan, *Updated August 20, 2021*

Summary

This memorandum and attachments provide context and information about the *updated* Colorado House of Representatives maps submitted by CLLARO to the Colorado Independent Legislative Redistricting Commission. The memorandum will describe House districts centered on communities of interest, with particular focus on Latino communities. Of the 65 proposed districts, 16 are 30 percent or more Latino. This compares to only 12 such districts in the Preliminary map. Additionally, 11 districts are competitive according to recent election results.¹ CLLARO prioritized 17 districts in this plan that are centered on Latino communities across the state. District lines and their descriptions in this memo have been updated based on the 2020 census data. Adjustments made to the districts based on that data did not change the number of districts that are 30 percent or more Latino. Those adjustments did reduce the number of competitive districts in this plan from 12 to 11. Competitiveness in this plan is defined as a margin of less than 10 percent between the parties in recent election results.¹

CLLARO worked to preserve Latino communities of interest throughout Colorado in accordance with criteria outlined in the Colorado constitution so that those communities will have the opportunity to elect candidates who are most equipped to address their public policy issues, challenges, and needs. Generally, CLLARO tried to keep counties, cities, and towns together as reasonably possible unless keeping a community of interest whole required a split in any counties, cities, and towns. CLLARO also considered compactness in drafting this plan. CLLARO prioritized competitiveness after preceding criteria as required. More details regarding the constitutional criteria are provided in the previous memo accompanying CLLARO's Colorado House of Representatives map submission on August 10, 2021.

Plan

Attachment A provides detailed maps of CLLARO's proposed Colorado House of Representatives plan. Attachment B provides population summaries and the percentage by race and ethnicity in each district. Attachment C shows election results for the 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General elections, as well as a composite of those election results.

Full Plan: <https://davesredistricting.org/join/20958d4e-aa23-4155-a3c0-c62367180998>

Data Overview

CLLARO has used 2020 census data to draw the districts in this plan. The 4.28 percent population deviation is within the legal threshold. CLLARO also attempted to account for the reallocation of prisoner populations to their home addresses using the limited available data but stayed within the allowed 5 percent deviation.

District Profiles

The following is an individual breakdown of each district pertaining to the criteria listed above:

HD1 (Denver) - **CLLARO Priority**

House District 1 (HD1) is in southwest Denver, reaching Mississippi Avenue to the north and as far as Downing Street to the east. The district includes the traditionally Latino neighborhoods of southwest Denver, including Ruby Hill, Harvey Park, College View and Mar Lee along with more recently Latino-influenced neighborhoods: Bear Valley, Marston, Fort Logan, and South Harvey Park. The district maintains a majority-minority population and is 44.6 percent Latino. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD2 (Denver)

House District 2 (HD2) is based in central Denver, and includes Golden Triangle, Alamo Placita, West Wash Park, Wash Park, Cherry Creek, Belcaro, Cherry Creek North, and Speer, and the University of Denver area. HD2 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD3 (Arapahoe County)

House District 3 (HD3) is based in southern Arapahoe County and includes Greenwood Village and western Centennial. HD3 is highly competitive, voting 52.4 percent to 44.1 percent for Democratic candidates in recent elections.¹

HD4 (Denver) - **CLLARO Priority**

House District (HD4) is based in north and west Denver, largely including neighborhoods west of Federal Boulevard, as well as Chaffee Park, Sun Valley, and parts of Sunnyside. The district maintains its historic Latino-influence, at 43.7 percent. At 39.5 percent of the voting-age population, Latino voters would continue to have the ability to elect candidates who meet their needs. The Latino population in this area has been greatly impacted by gentrification and the high cost of housing. The same district would have been 56 percent Latino in 2010. HD4 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD5 (Denver) - **CLLARO Priority**

House District 5 (HD5) follows the east side of the Federal Boulevard corridor, and includes the westside neighborhoods Athmar Park, Valverde, and Lincoln Park/La Alma. The district also includes Downtown, Auraria Campus, Jefferson Park, Highlands and most of Sunnyside. To the east, HD5 includes Globeville and Elyria Swansea, two neighborhoods greatly impacted by industrial contamination and air pollution. In addition, HD5 includes the entire Little Saigon district on South Federal Boulevard. Overall, the district remains Latino-influenced as it has been

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

in the past, with 32.1 percent of the population being Latino. The Latino population here has also been greatly impacted by gentrification and the high cost of housing. The same district would have been 50 percent Latino in 2010. HD5 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD6 (Denver/Glendale)

House District 6 (HD6) is based in east and central Denver, containing Lowry, Windsor, Hilltop, Montclair, Hale, Capitol Hill, Congress Park, Uptown, and Cheesman Park. HD6 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD7 (Denver) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 7 (HD7) is based in the neighborhoods along the I-70/Pena Boulevard corridor between Denver International Airport and the rest of Denver. This corridor is highly diverse, including Green Valley Ranch, Gateway, Montbello, and a portion of Central Park. The district is 25.7 percent Black and is 48.3 percent Latino. HD7 shares the Central Park neighborhood with neighboring HD8 and HD30. With 31,000+ residents, Central Park alone would make up more than a third of a House district. At 67.9 percent White, the neighborhood would also overwhelm the surrounding Black and Latino-influenced districts. Splitting the neighborhood 3 ways helps keep the surrounding districts intact. HD7 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD8 (Denver)

House District 8 (HD8) contains the historically Black neighborhoods in northeast Denver, including: Five Points, Whittier, Skyland, City Park, Park Hill, and East Colfax. The district also includes parts of Central Park, Cole, and Clayton, as well as the entire Colfax Corridor east of Downing Street. This area has also been greatly impacted by gentrification; however, significant Latino and Black communities remain here. The district is 19 percent Latino and 20 percent Black. HD8 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD9 (Denver/Arapahoe County)

House District 9 (HD9) is a southeast Denver district, containing a portion of the Denver Tech Center and the City of Glendale. The district also includes Virginia Village and Indian Creek. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD10 (City of Boulder/Gunbarrel)

House District 10 (HD10) is based in central Boulder, including the University of Colorado, as well as Gunbarrel. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD11 (Longmont) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 11 (HD11) contains nearly the entire City of Longmont. The city has a large Latino community, so the district would be 26.4 percent Latino. HD11 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD12 (Louisville, Lafayette, Erie)

House District 12 (HD12) contains the entire Cities of Louisville, Lafayette, and Erie. These communities between Boulder and Denver are closely linked. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD13 (Boulder, Clear Creek, Gilpin, Evergreen)

House District 13 (HD13) is based in the foothills area around Boulder, Jeffco, and Gilpin County. The district contains the southern and western neighborhoods in the City of Boulder, Superior, Eldorado Springs, Coal Creek, Evergreen, as well as all of Gilpin County. All of these communities have specific public policy concerns, including transportation and gambling, as well as wildfires with much of the district sitting within the Urban-Wildland Interface. HD13 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD14 (Fountain, Fort Carson, rural El Paso County)

House District 14 (HD14) is wholly within El Paso County, including the rural parts on the east side of the county, as well as the City of Fountain and Fort Carson. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

HD15 (Colorado Springs, Cimarron Hills)

House District 15 (HD15) contains eastern Colorado Springs, mostly east of Prowers Boulevard. The district goes as far south as Cimarron Hills. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD16 (Colorado Springs)

House District 16 contains Colorado Springs north of Austin Bluffs, largely south of Briargate Parkway and west of Prowers Boulevard. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD17 (Colorado Springs) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 17 is based in southeastern Colorado Springs, the most heavily Black and Latino area of the city. The district is 51.6 percent non-white, 30.5 percent Latino, and 14.9 percent Black. This district largely overlaps with Harrison School District, where 74% of students qualify for free and reduced lunch. HD17 is competitive, voting 49.9 percent for Democratic candidates and 43.4 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD18 (Colorado Springs)

House District 18 (HD18) includes central and northwest Colorado Springs, specifically around Palmer Park, University of Colorado – Colorado Springs (UCCS), Austin Bluffs, and central neighborhoods north of Penrose Hospital. HD18 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD19 (Air Force Academy, Monument, Black Forest)

House District 19 (HD19) contains the communities in northern El Paso County, with the exception of Palmer Lake, and the furthest northern reaches of Colorado Springs. This district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD20 (Manitou Springs, Colorado Springs)

House District 20 (HD20) is centered in Manitou Springs and western Colorado Springs, including Old Colorado City. The latter has common interest with the westside of Colorado Springs and other foothills-based neighborhoods to the north and south. The central neighborhoods around Colorado College are also of similar interest. HD20 is competitive, voting 51.5 percent for Democratic candidates and 43.7 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD21 (Colorado Springs, Stratmoor, Security-Widefield)

House District 21 (HD21) contains a small portion of Colorado Springs as well as nearby unincorporated communities Stratmoor and Security-Widefield. The district is 50.3 percent White, 27.4 percent Latino and 14.2 percent Black. HD21 is competitive, voting 42.4 percent for Democratic candidates and 51.2 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD22 (Columbine, Ken Caryl, Dakota Ridge)

House District 22 (HD22) contains the south Jeffco unincorporated communities west of Littleton. HD22 is competitive, voting 45.2 percent for Democratic candidates and 50.8 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD23 (Lakewood)

House District 23 (HD23) contains western Lakewood, with Kipling Street and Garrison Street making the longest borders to the east. The district takes in the furthest western extent of Denver to connect the isolated portion of Lakewood to the rest of the district. HD23 is non-competitive according to recent election results.¹

HD24 (Wheat Ridge, Arvada, Applewood)

House District 24 (HD24) is based in Wheat Ridge and contains much of the suburban communities between Wheat Ridge and Golden, including Applewood. Arvada is too large for a single district, so HD24 takes in the southwestern portion that does not fit in HD27. Though there are closer individual election results, overall HD24 is non-competitive.

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

In recent elections, the district voted 54.5 percent for Democratic candidates and 41.1 percent for Republican candidates.¹

HD25 (Golden, Genesee, Indian Hills, Morrison, Conifer)

House District 25 (HD25) is based in Golden and other foothills communities in Jefferson County. These areas share specific policy concerns, including transportation. The district is largely centered on the Urban-Wildlife Interface. HD25 is not considered competitive, voting 53.1 percent for Democratic candidates and 42.6 for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD26 (Fort Lupton, Lochbuie, Hudson, Platteville, Frederick, Firestone, Dacono)

House District 26 (HD26) is based in southern Weld County, containing the exurban and rural communities north of metro Denver. While the district has a significant Latino population (30.3 percent), Latino voters would not be considered to have great influence as voting patterns appear to be more polarized.

HD27 (Arvada)

House District 27 (HD27) is based entirely in Arvada, containing no other municipality. The district is competitive, voting 52.4 percent for Democratic candidates and 43 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD28 (Lakewood, Edgewater) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 28 (HD28) is based in eastern Lakewood, keeping the Sheridan Boulevard corridor along the Lakewood-Denver and Edgewater-Denver borders intact. The Latino population in Jeffco is centered along the Sheridan corridor and this district is 30.2 percent Latino, indicating Latino voters would have a greater amount of influence. HD28 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD29 (Federal Heights, Westminster, Sherrelwood, Perl Mack, Berkley) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 29 (HD29) is based in southwest Adams County, containing most of the unincorporated communities in that area (Sherrelwood, Perl Mack, Berkley). These communities along with the City of Federal Heights are highly Latino by population. The district also includes a small portion of unincorporated Jeffco that is heavily Latino. The City of Westminster is larger than a single House district and southern Westminster is distinct from the rest of the city, being 41 percent Latino compared to 23.4 percent in the city overall. Westminster City Council, which is elected entirely at-large, currently has no Latino members and none from the southern portion of the city. This indicates Latino voters in this part of Westminster may not have as much influence when paired with the rest of the city. This part of Westminster and the neighborhoods to the south are served by Westminster Public Schools. In that district, 76% of students qualify for free and reduced lunch compared to just 42% in Adams 12 to the north. HD29 is 52.3 percent Latino, creating a new district where Latino voters have significant influence. HD29 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD30 (Aurora, Denver) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 30 (HD30) is based in north Aurora, which is highly diverse. Colfax Avenue is the major economic corridor in this part of the city. HD30 is majority-minority and 43.6 percent Latino. The district is also 16.6 percent Black and 7.3 percent AAPI. The Central Park neighborhood in Denver has development extending into this part of Aurora and also shares Bluff Lake Nature Center, and the district extends into Denver to take in most of the neighborhood. HD30 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD31 (Thornton) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 31 (HD31) is entirely based in the City of Thornton, going as far as 144th Avenue to the north. The district includes "Original" Thornton in the south. HD31 is 34 percent Latino, and that population is expected to grow over the next decade. HD31 is competitive, voting 51.4 percent for Democratic candidates and 43.7 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

HD32 (Commerce City, Henderson, Welby) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 32 (HD32) contains the entire City of Commerce City as well as the unincorporated communities of Henderson and Welby. This configuration maintains this district's Latino majority (52.9 percent). With a Latino voting age population of 49% vs. 41% for White voters, Latino voters and other non-white voters would be expected to elect the candidate they prefer. Commerce City faces specific policy issues around air pollution and industrial contamination, but also contains diverse and rapidly growing suburban neighborhoods to the north so issues of transportation are also quite relevant. This district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD33 (Broomfield, Thornton, Todd Creek)

House District 33 (HD33) contains all of the City and County of Broomfield as well as the northernmost suburban communities in Adams County, including Todd Creek and part of Thornton. HD33 has a significant Asian-American / Pacific Islander (APPI) population at 8.8 percent. HD33 is non-competitive, voting 54.2 percent for Democratic candidates and 41.8 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD34 (Northglenn, Thornton) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 34 (HD34) contains the entire City of Northglenn as well as the nearest Thornton neighborhoods to the north and south. This district maintains existing Latino influence, being 41.4 percent Latino. HD34 is not considered competitive, voting 55.4 percent for Democratic candidates and 39.2 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD35 (Westminster)

House District 35 (HD35) contains all of Westminster except for the southern portion that remains in HD29. The district is non-competitive, voting 54.7 percent for Democratic candidates and 40.7 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD36 (Aurora)

House District 36 (HD36) contains the easternmost neighborhoods of Aurora. The district is rapidly diversifying, going from 60.4 percent White in the last census to 51.9 percent White in 2020. HD36 is home to significant Latino, Black, and AAPI populations at 20.4, 15.9, and 11.2 percent respectively. The district is not considered competitive, voting 53.5 percent for Democratic candidates and 42.5 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD37 (Centennial, Aurora, Foxfield)

House District 37 (HD37) contains all of the City of Centennial east of I-25, the Town of Foxfield, as well as the far southern reaches of Aurora, going into Douglas County. There is a significant APPI population living here, making up 13.7 percent of the population. HD37 is competitive according to recent election results, voting 51.2 percent for Democratic candidates and 45.3 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD38 (Sheridan, Englewood, Littleton, Cherry Hills Village)

House District 38 (HD38) contains the entire City of Littleton in Arapahoe County as well as the cities of Sheridan, Englewood, and Cherry Hills Village. The district maintains the important community of interest around Sheridan, Englewood, and northern Littleton, which are lower income than surrounding communities. There is a close relationship between Sheridan and Englewood's school districts with Littleton Public Schools as well. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD39 (Douglas County, Palmer Lake)

House District 39 (HD39) contains all rural Douglas County as well as parts of Highlands Ranch and Lone Tree. In El Paso County, the district contains Palmer Lake. The district is more rural and exurban than surrounding districts that contain larger towns and cities. HD39 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD40 (Aurora)

House District 40 (HD40) contains southern-central Aurora. The district contains Cherry Creek Reservoir and is

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

centered along Quincy Avenue. HD40 has a significant AAPI population at 9.8 percent. HD40 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD41 (Aurora)

House District 41 (HD41) contains the urban unincorporated portion of Arapahoe County (Dayton Triangle) as well as the nearby neighborhoods in Aurora along East Iliff Avenue. The district majority non-white and is home to significant Latino and Black populations at 22.2 and 21.5 percent respectively, as well as a significant AAPI population at 7.6 percent. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD42 (Aurora) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 42 (HD42) remains centered in central-west Aurora in Arapahoe County. The district maintains a strong Latino influence at 39.1 percent as well as a large Black population at 24.6 percent. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD43 (Highlands Ranch)

House District 43 (HD43) is entirely within Highlands Ranch, though the city is now too large for a single house district. HD43 is competitive, voting 46.2 percent for Democratic candidates and 50.3 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD44 (Parker)

House District 44 (HD44) contains the entire City of Parker as nearby unincorporated areas in Douglas County. The district is largely urban, leaving rural areas in neighboring HD39. HD44 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD45 (Castle Rock)

House District 45 (HD45) is based in the City of Castle Rock and other nearby exurban communities. HD45 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD46 (Pueblo) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 46 (HD46) contains the entire City of Pueblo outside of HD62. The district is strongly Latino influenced at 42.9 percent. HD46 is not considered competitive, voting 53.8 percent for Democratic candidates and 41.4 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD47 (Pueblo West, Fremont, Las Animas, Otero)

House District 47 (HD47) contains the remainder of Pueblo County outside of HD46 and HD62, as well as a portion of Fremont County and the entirety of Otero and Las Animas Counties. HD47 is 28.9 percent Latino, and not considered Latino-influenced given racially polarized voting. HD47 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD48 (Greeley, Miliken, Johnstown, Berthoud, Mead)

House District 48 (HD48) contains the remainder of the City of Greeley not included in HD50, as well as the entire cities of Miliken, Johnstown, Berthoud, and Mead. All are smaller towns surrounding the larger cities of the northern Front Range and all overlap with Weld County. HD48 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD49 (Larimer and Boulder counties)

House District 49 (HD49) contains the rural areas of Larimer County as well as communities in northeast Boulder County. The district is competitive, voting for 49.1 percent for Democratic candidates and 46.9 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD50 (Greeley/Evans) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 50 (HD50) contains the entire City of Evans as well as Garden City and Greeley, largely east of 35th Avenue. This part of Greeley is a Latino community of interest that has been recognized in previous redistricting cycles. The area is heavily Latino and far less affluent than the neighborhoods to the west. This district remains

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

majority-minority and is 49.6 percent Latino. Western Greeley and more rural communities to the east are home to White voters who appear to vote as a block against the preferred candidates of Latino voters. HD50 is competitive, voting 49.4 percent for Democratic candidates and 44.9 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD51 (Loveland)

House District 51 (HD51) contains the entire City of Loveland. The district is not considered competitive, voting 42.4 for Democratic candidates and 52.8 for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD52 (Fort Collins)

House District 52 (HD52) contains all of Fort Collins that is not contained within HD53, mostly the eastern and southern portions of the city. The district is not considered competitive, with Democrats receiving 56.6 percent of the vote and Republican candidates receiving 39 percent in recent elections.¹

HD53 (Fort Collins)

House District 53 (HD53) contains all of Fort Collins that is both north of Horsetooth Road and west of Lemay Avenue, including Colorado State University campus. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD54 (Mesa County, Cedaredge, Paonia)

House District 54 (HD54) contains most of Mesa County outside of Grand Junction and Delta County outside of the City of Delta. The district is very rural, including both extractive industries and agricultural communities. HD54 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD55 (Grand Junction)

House District 55 (HD55) is centered in urban Mesa County, including the entire City of Grand Junction. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD56 (Eagle, Summit, Lake, Chaffee) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 56 (HD56) contains Summit and Lake Counties as well as all of Eagle County except for the portion in the Roaring Fork Valley. Lake County residents also largely work in Eagle or Summit County and so it is included in this district. Many Latinos live in this region and work in the construction and ski industries, leaving the population at 25.4 percent Latino. Latinos and other residents face an acute housing crisis in these mountain communities. The district includes the northernmost portion of Chaffee County, excluding Buena Vista. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD57 (Moffat, Rio Blanco, Routt, Jackson, Grand, Clear Creek, Park)

House District 57 (HD57). The district includes rural extractive areas to the west in Moffat, Rio Blanco, as well as more recreation-oriented areas to the east in Routt, Grand, Clear Creek, and Park. This region is also highly vulnerable to forest fires, causing issues for the economy as well as the many communities surrounded by large, forested wilderness areas. HD57 is not considered competitive, voting 53.2 percent for Republican candidates and 42.2 percent for Democratic candidates in recent elections.¹

HD58 (Delta, Montrose, San Miguel, Dolores, Montezuma, Ouray)

House District 58 (HD58) contains rural and agricultural communities in southwest Colorado. The district contains all of Montrose, San Miguel, Ouray, and Dolores counties as well as the City of Delta in Delta County, and the non-Ute heavy areas of Montezuma County including the City of Cortez. The district is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD59 (Ute Mountain Ute Nation, Southern Ute Nation, Archuleta, La Plata, Montezuma, San Juan, Hinsdale, Gunnison)

House District 59 (HD59) includes both Ute Nations (Southern Ute and Ute Mountain Ute), as well as the entire counties of La Plata, San Juan, Hinsdale, Archuleta, and Gunnison. The district excludes the areas of Montezuma County without a significant Native population. This district has the largest Native population in the state at 8.8

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.

percent. HD59 district is not considered competitive, voting 52.9 percent for Democratic candidates and 42.3 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD60 (Teller, Fremont, Custer, El Paso, Chaffee)

House District 60 (HD60) contains all of Teller and Custer counties as well as nearly all of Fremont and Chaffee counties. The district is made up of rural communities in the central mountain valleys and foothills. The district has significant recreation-oriented employment as well as one of Colorado's gambling centers. HD60 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD61 (Roaring Fork Valley, Pitkin County, Gunnison County, Chaffee County) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 61 (HD61) contains all of the cities and towns within the Roaring Fork Valley, keeping this community of interest intact. The district also includes all of Pitkin and Garfield counties. HD61 is 27.6 percent Latino, the most significant population on the western slope. The district is non-competitive, voting 55.9 percent for Democratic candidates and 40.2 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD62 (San Luis Valley, Huerfano County, Pueblo County) - *CLLARO Priority*

House District 62 (HD62) maintains its current configuration, containing the entire San Luis Valley as well as Huerfano County and Latino-majority eastern neighborhoods of the City of Pueblo. Sanchez v. Colorado required the creation of a Hispanic-majority district including the San Luis Valley. The redistricting commissions in 2001 and 2011 both retained VRA experts who concluded that there was still racial bloc voting in the San Luis Valley that justified a "minority majority" district including the San Luis Valley. CLLARO left the previously required House district, HD62, in place with the assumption that conditions have not changed sufficiently to negate the need for that district to maintain a majority-Hispanic population. HD62 is not considered competitive, voting 54 percent for Democratic candidates and 41.2 percent for Republican candidates in recent elections.¹

HD63 (Elbert County, Watkins, Stasburg, Bennett, Deertrail, Brighton)

House District 63 (HD63) contains all of Elbert County as well as rural and exurban communities in Adams and Arapahoe Counties. The district also includes the City of Brighton. The district is largely agricultural, with strong exurban growth coming from the Denver metro area. HD63 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

HD64 (Morgan, Lincoln, Yuma, Washington, Kit Carson, Cheyenne, Kiowa, Crowley, Bent, Prowers, Baca counties)

House District 64 (HD64) contains most of the counties on the eastern plains, except for the far northeast counties. The district excludes counties that have become more urbanized in recent years, such as Weld and Elbert. HD64 is non-competitive according to recent election results.


HD65 (Sedgwick, Phillips, Logan, Windsor, Timnath, Eaton, Ault)

House District 65 (HD65) includes counties of the northeast corner of the state: Sedgwick, Phillips, and Logan, as well as the City of Windsor, Severance, Timnath, Eaton and, Ault in Weld/Larimer Counties. These communities all sit north and east of the Fort Collins/Greeley area. HD65 is non-competitive according to recent election results.

Attachments

CLLARO Colorado House of Representatives Map	Attachment A
Population Summary and Race and Ethnicity	Attachment B
Election Results (2016-2020)	Attachment C

1. Uses the composite of 2016 Presidential, 2020 Presidential, 2016 Senate, 2020 Senate, 2018 Governor, and 2018 Attorney General election results, which do not include primaries or non-partisan elections.



54

57

58

59

61

56

62

60

49

13

25

10

53

39

31

7

48

26

63


14

47

65

64

CLLARO
Corral National
Grassland



Pueblo

Florence

Beulah Valley

Colorado City

62

46

Pueblo

Vineland


Boone

47


Fowler




Mesa County and Delta County



Roaring Fork Valley





39

19

18

16

15

17

20


21

14

60

El Paso County


CLLARO




Elizabeth


CLLARO

West Metro Denver







East Metro Denver




North Metro Denver



Boulder County





Colorado House of Representatives
Election Results (2016-2020)

House District	2016 President (Total)	2016 President (Dem)	2016 President (Rep)	2016 Senate (Total)	2016 Senate (Dem)	2016 Senate (Rep)	2018 Governor (Total)	2018 Governor (Dem)	2018 Governor (Rep)	2018 Attorney General (Total)
1	37,233	23,434	10,797	36,808	23,704	11,175	33,291	22,281	9,572	33,065
2	52,414	37,545	10,949	51,777	36,454	12,920	50,216	37,513	11,566	49,691
3	55,213	27,030	23,487	55,394	27,646	25,712	51,090	26,859	22,884	50,483
4	37,876	29,074	5,965	37,237	28,250	6,783	34,907	27,942	5,680	34,512
5	35,149	26,635	5,554	34,393	25,543	6,591	33,073	26,661	5,325	32,684
6	49,501	37,718	8,256	48,858	36,769	9,419	46,611	37,453	7,958	46,223
7	22,889	18,171	3,568	22,595	17,594	4,086	21,571	17,243	3,511	21,426
8	45,846	38,299	4,451	45,286	37,071	5,831	43,088	37,354	4,563	42,689
9	44,129	28,579	11,864	43,596	28,434	12,673	40,097	27,966	10,760	39,735
10	50,047	40,018	6,529	49,049	37,414	7,480	45,260	38,495	5,835	44,537
11	41,708	23,786	13,979	41,383	23,639	14,643	38,690	24,784	12,292	38,180
12	46,736	30,242	12,732	46,373	29,280	14,260	46,130	32,182	12,714	45,373
13	59,267	41,453	13,556	58,495	39,540	14,707	56,788	41,990	13,358	56,025
14	29,599	7,008	19,882	29,150	7,862	19,416	24,414	7,100	15,966	24,264
15	35,354	10,577	20,992	34,889	11,081	21,668	31,409	11,449	18,414	31,169
16	47,203	13,977	28,046	46,943	14,741	29,508	39,836	14,251	23,965	39,497
17	28,764	12,769	12,949	28,374	13,395	12,597	22,954	11,835	9,667	22,820
18	48,418	17,015	26,506	48,340	17,892	27,679	41,691	16,885	23,137	41,352
19	44,484	10,369	30,283	44,510	10,843	31,960	42,646	11,432	29,958	42,275
20	50,226	23,552	21,922	49,968	24,330	22,534	44,635	23,773	19,236	44,319
21	29,655	11,051	15,568	29,314	11,778	15,206	23,722	10,520	11,796	23,624
22	54,599	22,627	27,248	53,472	23,240	27,690	50,113	22,986	25,297	49,282
23	50,701	25,888	20,217	49,636	26,311	20,291	47,042	26,779	18,396	46,345
24	50,451	24,971	21,029	49,306	25,443	20,943	46,846	25,907	18,960	46,130
25	55,036	27,016	23,050	53,909	27,017	23,879	51,930	28,071	22,093	51,062
26	38,225	12,061	22,850	37,894	13,705	22,029	35,214	12,086	21,397	34,715
27	46,444	21,862	20,200	45,399	22,580	20,131	43,152	22,951	18,196	42,483
28	42,064	23,795	14,383	40,861	23,764	14,156	37,767	23,510	12,449	37,275
29	30,142	17,644	9,768	28,573	17,467	9,049	26,005	16,684	7,881	25,621
30	25,163	17,551	5,725	24,475	17,006	6,138	21,446	15,650	4,960	21,163

Colorado House of Representatives
Election Results (2016-2020)

House District	2016 President (Total)	2016 President (Dem)	2016 President (Rep)	2016 Senate (Total)	2016 Senate (Dem)	2016 Senate (Rep)	2018 Governor (Total)	2018 Governor (Dem)	2018 Governor (Rep)	2018 Attorney General (Total)
31	37,215	17,437	16,330	35,618	17,779	15,731	33,305	17,551	14,145	32,670
32	27,898	14,651	10,859	26,451	14,625	10,179	23,751	13,324	9,076	23,302
33	45,144	22,433	18,615	43,879	22,269	19,247	44,362	24,642	18,311	43,516
34	33,631	17,140	13,320	31,919	17,190	12,557	27,859	15,811	10,607	27,437
35	46,799	23,334	19,124	45,320	23,640	19,044	43,510	24,363	17,418	42,735
36	33,071	16,375	13,862	32,802	16,621	14,553	30,698	16,818	12,799	30,364
37	44,114	20,953	19,611	43,909	21,093	21,058	40,389	20,976	18,333	39,912
38	47,724	24,617	18,355	47,441	25,308	19,361	43,595	24,978	16,976	43,091
39	51,341	17,708	29,713	51,201	17,987	31,093	49,476	18,504	29,392	48,909
40	40,118	21,181	15,056	39,745	21,766	15,587	34,767	20,458	12,924	34,377
41	38,007	22,893	12,141	37,692	23,269	12,384	32,854	21,262	10,420	32,580
42	28,525	18,820	7,521	28,254	18,786	7,793	23,595	16,429	6,194	23,366
43	52,631	22,381	25,682	52,476	22,576	27,764	48,823	22,718	24,754	48,127
44	41,851	15,175	22,716	41,402	15,538	23,628	39,332	16,237	21,544	38,763
45	44,295	14,186	26,022	43,907	14,722	27,059	41,469	14,980	24,913	41,028
46	40,905	20,377	17,137	40,544	22,757	15,550	34,730	19,125	13,877	34,428
47	44,545	13,793	27,489	44,275	17,146	25,061	39,026	14,481	22,760	38,716
48	44,138	14,267	26,008	43,941	16,169	25,702	42,006	15,070	25,276	41,354
49	52,625	23,878	24,479	52,385	24,341	25,273	50,098	25,322	23,151	49,453
50	28,258	13,014	12,328	27,918	14,280	11,685	23,294	11,385	10,451	22,923
51	48,722	17,885	26,071	48,403	19,699	25,825	44,297	19,467	22,925	43,678
52	48,393	24,786	18,737	48,046	25,161	20,285	45,320	26,601	17,362	44,611
53	48,056	30,130	12,335	46,822	29,675	13,213	41,195	30,260	9,590	40,471
54	46,492	10,875	32,412	46,258	12,556	31,137	40,636	12,422	26,660	40,063
55	43,589	13,982	25,921	43,433	15,286	25,495	38,260	15,216	21,667	37,621
56	41,957	23,133	15,014	41,314	22,849	14,823	37,009	22,886	12,755	35,965
57	49,693	18,589	27,159	49,222	20,194	26,155	45,208	19,946	23,556	44,348
58	46,194	14,844	28,105	45,658	16,095	27,061	41,953	16,057	24,520	41,342
59	50,103	24,083	21,253	49,453	25,167	21,001	45,906	25,112	19,347	45,024
60	46,899	13,873	29,415	46,768	15,967	28,394	42,887	15,553	25,514	42,347

**Colorado House of Representatives
Election Results (2016-2020)**

House District	2016 President (Total)	2016 President (Dem)	2016 President (Rep)	2016 Senate (Total)	2016 Senate (Dem)	2016 Senate (Rep)	2018 Governor (Total)	2018 Governor (Dem)	2018 Governor (Rep)	2018 Attorney General (Total)
61	40,849	21,157	16,723	40,006	21,435	16,268	37,510	21,608	14,754	36,975
62	39,083	19,797	15,863	39,035	22,098	14,854	33,729	18,376	13,597	33,416
63	43,326	11,878	28,040	42,380	13,467	26,821	39,271	12,066	25,486	38,776
64	38,202	7,739	28,357	37,829	11,126	25,341	33,316	7,431	24,414	32,880
65	41,308	9,784	28,402	41,057	12,275	27,178	39,984	10,856	27,544	39,359



Colorado House of Representatives
Election Results (2016-2020)

House District	2018 Attorney General (Dem)	2018 Attorney General (Rep)	2020 President (Total)	2020 President (Dem)	2020 President (Rep)	2020 Senate (Total)	2020 Senate (Dem)	2020 Senate (Rep)	2016-2020 Composite (Total)
1	21,819	10,120	43,406	30,121	12,115	43,290	29,593	12,751	37,851
2	36,479	12,204	59,333	46,704	11,419	59,393	44,952	13,700	53,796
3	24,965	24,361	59,770	34,597	23,726	59,822	32,698	26,267	55,277
4	27,336	6,092	46,238	37,639	7,590	46,164	36,885	8,423	39,502
5	26,038	5,558	46,322	37,883	7,258	46,123	36,957	8,236	37,970
6	36,455	8,690	55,913	46,035	8,684	55,755	44,835	9,903	50,485
7	17,035	3,768	33,346	26,829	5,875	33,265	26,417	6,100	25,837
8	36,592	5,074	52,644	46,235	5,258	52,547	45,435	6,121	47,037
9	26,868	11,702	49,984	36,549	12,125	49,887	35,331	13,461	44,580
10	37,289	6,287	50,154	42,836	6,326	49,893	41,832	7,202	48,155
11	23,882	12,878	49,101	33,228	14,500	48,948	32,150	15,606	42,983
12	30,756	13,437	56,833	40,841	14,731	56,810	39,372	16,509	49,714
13	40,694	13,910	62,210	47,340	13,549	62,061	45,993	15,104	59,113
14	6,721	16,448	37,009	11,451	24,051	36,739	10,855	24,386	30,188
15	10,782	19,102	47,839	19,363	26,613	47,511	18,067	27,799	38,026
16	13,237	24,727	52,219	21,161	29,046	51,984	19,538	30,849	46,273
17	11,546	10,067	33,658	18,082	14,086	33,402	17,439	14,272	28,332
18	16,029	23,822	53,224	23,973	27,361	53,048	22,429	28,987	47,677
19	10,578	30,519	57,259	18,283	37,370	57,186	16,448	39,602	48,066
20	22,774	19,959	55,111	30,630	22,697	54,891	29,254	24,119	49,843
21	10,112	12,306	36,395	16,522	18,121	36,029	15,735	18,457	29,796
22	21,202	26,622	60,884	30,066	29,266	60,880	28,649	31,122	54,875
23	25,130	19,627	58,101	34,986	21,455	58,031	33,741	22,970	51,655
24	24,487	20,088	57,675	34,076	22,007	57,659	32,996	23,405	51,347
25	26,417	23,043	61,401	35,311	24,386	61,399	33,809	26,444	55,771
26	11,690	21,553	47,701	17,518	28,806	47,645	17,070	29,479	40,214
27	21,648	19,294	56,245	31,956	22,749	56,209	30,964	24,063	48,333
28	22,526	13,330	48,737	31,768	15,560	48,571	31,043	16,268	42,556
29	16,268	8,258	36,362	23,949	11,355	36,134	23,586	11,396	30,478
30	15,134	5,324	29,258	22,177	6,429	29,204	21,659	6,788	25,095

Colorado House of Representatives
Election Results (2016-2020)

House District	2018		2020 President (Total)	2020 President (Dem)		2020 President (Rep)		2020 Senate (Total)		2020 Senate (Dem)		2020 Senate (Rep)		2016-2020 Composite (Total)
	Attorney General (Dem)	Attorney General (Rep)		Attorney General (Rep)	Attorney General (Rep)	Attorney General (Rep)	Attorney General (Rep)	Attorney General (Rep)	Attorney General (Rep)	Attorney General (Rep)	Attorney General (Rep)			
31	16,623	14,738	45,587	24,762	19,411	45,386	23,910	20,120	38,291					
32	12,936	9,350	35,660	20,781	13,836	35,472	20,363	13,965	28,755					
33	22,999	19,183	57,650	33,816	22,357	57,231	31,905	24,256	48,636					
34	15,170	11,134	38,692	22,530	14,885	38,465	21,991	15,216	33,014					
35	22,809	18,451	55,661	32,887	21,205	55,512	31,463	22,748	48,251					
36	15,951	13,493	44,556	25,547	17,848	44,242	24,082	19,139	35,951					
37	19,605	19,292	51,916	29,087	21,547	51,747	27,507	23,350	45,324					
38	23,769	17,920	54,452	33,546	19,309	54,262	32,154	20,927	48,409					
39	16,999	30,576	63,898	27,123	35,332	63,949	25,263	37,746	54,798					
40	19,430	13,704	46,234	28,478	16,436	45,941	27,305	17,363	40,202					
41	20,400	11,146	42,803	29,014	12,662	42,495	28,000	13,334	37,743					
42	15,906	6,622	31,960	23,055	8,028	31,755	22,419	8,309	27,908					
43	20,567	26,317	59,756	31,069	27,333	59,844	29,095	29,849	53,606					
44	14,877	22,592	53,799	24,659	27,630	53,679	23,034	29,586	44,794					
45	13,796	25,932	56,962	22,832	32,654	56,897	21,137	34,610	47,424					
46	18,632	14,511	45,560	24,782	19,601	45,065	24,223	19,507	40,190					
47	13,740	23,647	51,457	18,087	32,167	50,998	17,686	32,034	44,810					
48	14,035	25,928	54,799	21,097	32,235	54,384	19,941	33,389	46,738					
49	23,946	24,079	61,312	32,327	27,464	59,689	30,206	28,364	54,216					
50	11,214	10,606	29,961	15,217	13,735	29,802	14,949	13,935	27,009					
51	18,241	23,847	56,617	26,186	28,739	54,582	24,137	29,133	49,387					
52	24,730	18,561	56,750	35,100	19,932	54,773	32,243	21,355	49,656					
53	28,702	10,359	49,010	35,950	11,340	46,782	33,491	12,000	45,375					
54	11,255	27,519	53,354	15,790	36,436	52,702	14,938	36,435	46,593					
55	13,692	22,649	51,488	20,288	29,843	50,687	19,010	30,239	44,176					
56	21,608	13,091	48,461	30,886	16,265	48,053	29,768	17,255	42,087					
57	18,968	23,773	57,178	25,738	29,965	56,759	24,970	30,559	50,359					
58	15,181	24,920	54,737	20,742	32,913	54,294	19,891	33,184	47,274					
59	24,254	19,275	58,647	32,771	24,373	58,201	31,657	25,211	51,204					
60	14,697	26,141	55,177	19,871	33,956	54,656	19,200	34,102	48,063					

**Colorado House of Representatives
Election Results (2016-2020)**

House District	2018 Attorney General (Dem)	2018 Attorney General (Rep)	2020 President (Total)	2020 President (Dem)	2020 President (Rep)	2020 Senate (Total)	2020 Senate (Dem)	2020 Senate (Rep)	2016-2020 Composite (Total)
61	21,002	14,835	47,435	27,701	18,710	47,160	26,978	19,196	41,599
62	18,329	13,892	43,849	23,370	19,285	43,422	23,176	19,036	38,684
63	11,389	26,016	52,111	16,643	34,065	51,915	15,997	34,624	44,604
64	7,278	24,639	42,104	9,335	32,011	41,703	8,981	31,991	37,550
65	10,240	27,846	53,045	15,170	36,581	52,796	14,306	37,632	44,521

Colorado House of Representatives
Election Results (2016-2020)

House District	2016-2020 Composite (Dem)	2016-2020 Composite (Rep)	2016-2020 Composite Margin
1	25,165	11,074	39%
2	39,937	12,120	53%
3	28,961	24,391	9%
4	31,176	6,746	64%
5	29,953	6,403	65%
6	39,870	8,820	64%
7	20,545	4,498	64%
8	40,152	5,225	77%
9	30,627	12,089	43%
10	39,639	6,612	71%
11	26,894	13,995	32%
12	33,760	14,061	41%
13	42,782	14,027	51%
14	8,504	20,020	-40%
15	13,550	22,432	-25%
16	16,129	27,694	-26%
17	14,151	12,309	7%
18	19,037	26,273	-16%
19	12,963	33,282	-44%
20	25,684	21,772	8%
21	12,626	15,244	-9%
22	24,800	27,860	-6%
23	28,804	20,506	17%
24	27,966	21,081	14%
25	29,593	23,786	11%
26	14,019	24,279	-27%
27	25,323	20,778	10%
28	26,056	14,364	29%
29	19,253	9,611	33%
30	18,198	5,883	51%

Colorado House of Representatives
Election Results (2016-2020)

House District	2016-2020 Composite (Dem)	2016-2020 Composite (Rep)	2016-2020 Composite Margin
31	19,689	16,737	8%
32	16,102	11,208	18%
33	26,351	20,331	13%
34	18,281	12,947	17%
35	26,407	19,650	15%
36	19,233	15,275	11%
37	23,194	20,525	6%
38	27,383	18,806	19%
39	20,571	32,305	-22%
40	23,092	15,171	21%
41	24,137	12,031	33%
42	19,239	7,419	44%
43	24,741	26,947	-4%
44	18,245	24,619	-15%
45	16,918	28,512	-26%
46	21,621	16,649	13%
47	15,814	27,108	-26%
48	16,750	28,064	-25%
49	26,609	25,451	2%
50	13,340	12,119	5%
51	20,923	26,093	-11%
52	28,102	19,360	18%
53	31,349	11,456	46%
54	12,932	31,761	-42%
55	16,199	25,966	-23%
56	25,134	14,878	26%
57	21,238	26,795	-12%
58	16,988	28,383	-25%
59	27,081	21,649	11%
60	16,387	29,521	-29%

**Colorado House of Representatives
Election Results (2016-2020)**

House District	2016-2020 Composite (Dem)	2016-2020 Composite (Rep)	2016-2020 Composite Margin
61	23,251	16,727	16%
62	20,894	15,919	14%
63	13,540	29,115	-37%
64	8,466	27,553	-53%
65	12,025	30,781	-44%
		Lean Dem	34
		Lean Rep	20
		Competitive	11

Colorado House of Representatives
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary

House District	2010 Total Population	2010 White Population	2010 Latino Population	2010 Black Population	2010 AAPI Population	2010 Native Population	2010 Total VAP	2010 White VAP	2010 Latino VAP
1	82,488	36,678	38,816	2,403	4,663	2,556	61,900	31,764	25,071
2	72,250	62,066	5,000	1,746	3,165	837	63,150	54,724	4,085
3	81,675	71,249	4,656	1,477	3,987	822	62,488	55,674	3,017
4	82,422	30,666	46,525	2,720	2,403	3,037	61,062	26,930	30,442
5	65,322	26,931	32,363	3,753	2,280	2,097	51,451	25,240	21,547
6	75,995	54,525	9,148	8,663	3,609	1,327	65,233	48,675	6,792
7	60,714	9,990	28,901	19,913	3,273	1,478	39,196	8,117	16,804
8	76,770	35,191	17,113	21,670	3,398	2,132	59,808	30,098	11,072
9	77,205	51,671	12,424	8,389	4,718	1,421	64,135	45,540	8,518
10	77,339	62,859	7,694	1,190	5,248	841	65,925	55,026	5,313
11	77,990	52,785	20,604	1,168	3,083	1,559	57,837	42,199	12,597
12	66,013	53,665	7,682	889	3,419	929	48,663	40,681	4,916
13	84,539	74,761	4,004	844	4,465	862	68,214	61,373	2,824
14	71,955	51,666	10,373	6,660	3,195	1,973	49,482	37,338	5,957
15	62,532	44,158	8,784	5,432	4,637	1,224	42,882	31,750	5,053
16	85,501	66,533	9,328	4,809	4,766	1,673	61,473	49,487	5,704
17	83,811	43,115	24,659	12,600	4,429	2,700	62,445	35,622	15,465
18	85,618	67,216	10,215	4,334	3,613	1,695	67,571	55,080	6,753
19	66,864	56,964	4,340	1,922	3,356	925	48,389	42,093	2,657
20	85,813	70,204	9,063	3,261	2,772	1,972	70,496	59,331	6,282
21	72,230	41,077	16,364	11,096	4,701	2,147	50,730	31,219	9,625
22	85,823	73,348	7,843	1,068	3,158	1,048	64,619	56,465	5,115
23	81,123	64,328	10,861	1,635	3,775	1,628	64,537	52,903	7,333
24	80,677	65,700	11,248	1,070	2,184	1,534	63,417	53,446	7,341
25	82,667	74,059	5,015	753	2,319	826	64,673	58,686	3,460
26	69,381	47,309	19,225	790	1,296	1,633	49,261	35,473	11,746
27	75,117	59,749	11,365	1,207	2,439	1,421	58,044	48,127	7,221
28	82,502	51,364	25,460	2,153	3,165	2,335	64,931	44,238	16,590

**Colorado House of Representatives
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary**

House District	2010 Total Population	2010 White Population	2010 Latino Population	2010 Black Population	2010 AAPI Population	2010 Native Population	2010 Total VAP	2010 White VAP	2010 Latino VAP
29	80,566	35,210	39,271	1,763	4,106	2,401	58,993	29,727	24,876
30	69,859	21,779	32,951	12,196	3,533	1,779	49,178	18,019	20,424
31	76,961	48,784	21,922	1,821	4,303	1,630	53,851	36,390	13,430
32	71,303	31,056	35,753	2,505	2,004	2,166	48,596	23,923	21,714
33	65,269	51,844	7,383	1,014	4,764	841	47,772	39,195	4,570
34	79,210	44,296	28,121	2,524	4,202	1,937	57,660	35,369	17,625
35	79,932	61,875	10,906	1,495	5,328	1,316	61,125	48,907	7,252
36	55,300	33,378	8,783	8,394	5,252	988	37,647	24,122	5,100
37	76,087	55,453	7,133	5,626	8,157	821	54,901	41,407	4,361
38	82,027	63,687	13,152	2,148	2,458	1,773	64,928	52,731	8,555
39	74,570	64,506	4,654	1,135	3,916	724	53,379	47,109	2,849
40	79,389	48,761	12,622	11,767	6,863	1,524	57,384	37,997	7,576
41	78,458	43,084	15,099	15,528	5,310	1,594	60,727	36,990	9,506
42	80,692	27,133	30,943	18,908	4,795	1,922	58,539	23,541	18,865
43	85,877	72,258	6,250	1,481	5,711	819	59,322	50,845	3,816
44	65,080	54,986	5,264	1,436	3,218	660	44,604	38,494	3,109
45	63,903	55,080	5,486	1,142	1,929	806	44,160	38,992	3,184
46	82,558	43,838	34,580	2,551	1,402	2,814	63,866	36,898	23,829
47	87,465	58,522	24,856	1,998	1,180	2,592	66,869	46,621	17,051
48	67,311	53,980	11,054	620	1,285	991	49,385	41,219	6,739
49	73,505	64,153	6,494	642	1,656	1,113	57,511	51,606	4,046
50	79,994	39,439	36,802	1,919	1,616	1,929	58,194	32,800	22,576
51	75,826	64,440	8,756	744	1,308	1,260	57,938	51,032	5,228
52	73,324	61,125	7,538	1,111	3,233	948	54,347	46,594	4,768
53	81,241	66,754	9,026	1,712	3,165	1,509	68,916	58,244	6,360
54	84,424	71,840	9,953	819	882	1,760	64,005	56,074	6,143
55	83,431	68,684	11,542	1,030	1,493	1,720	65,011	55,361	7,391
56	80,936	58,660	19,996	794	1,192	905	63,331	48,622	12,959

**Colorado House of Representatives
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary**

House District	2010 Total Population	2010 White Population	2010 Latino Population	2010 Black Population	2010 AAPI Population	2010 Native Population	2010 Total VAP	2010 White VAP	2010 Latino VAP
57	85,501	76,223	6,728	633	1,025	1,354	67,281	61,085	4,405
58	87,251	69,412	13,979	533	965	3,299	66,767	55,573	8,672
59	83,523	67,114	9,843	621	949	6,312	66,598	55,177	6,671
60	83,081	71,614	7,418	1,757	915	1,919	67,740	58,898	5,625
61	81,776	59,239	20,164	785	1,165	1,351	61,387	47,188	12,541
62	87,399	38,119	46,105	1,645	995	3,629	65,312	31,051	31,908
63	76,059	55,944	17,003	1,265	1,396	1,506	55,034	42,307	10,662
64	88,648	61,483	22,950	2,777	743	1,510	67,175	49,062	14,742
65	68,340	56,531	9,110	1,296	846	1,072	51,361	43,364	6,010

**Colorado House of Representatives
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary**

House District	2010 Black VAP	2010 AAPI VAP	2010 Native VAP	2019 Total Population	2019 White Population	2019 Latino Population	2019 Black Population	2019 AAPI Population	2019 Native Population	2019 Total VAP
1	1,502	3,340	1,723	87,499	38,327	38,984	3,478	5,466	4,316	68,892
2	1,450	2,604	689	87,566	70,949	7,324	2,919	4,914	1,580	76,139
3	897	2,612	543	88,036	70,359	6,935	2,181	6,911	1,662	68,096
4	1,534	1,781	2,083	87,290	40,694	38,130	4,192	3,183	4,368	68,780
5	2,558	1,879	1,493	87,211	48,140	27,994	5,419	4,513	3,535	75,829
6	6,688	2,821	1,048	89,582	61,152	11,972	10,351	4,761	2,506	77,136
7	12,570	2,145	864	87,630	15,995	42,317	22,527	7,659	3,126	61,191
8	16,366	2,301	1,500	87,361	48,046	16,635	17,434	4,496	3,026	70,418
9	6,139	3,680	1,023	88,241	56,066	14,867	10,127	6,064	2,637	74,397
10	945	4,316	666	87,018	64,939	10,283	1,957	7,894	1,710	75,581
11	662	2,005	1,016	88,670	56,867	23,378	1,801	4,341	3,095	69,446
12	494	2,247	615	86,937	66,618	10,854	1,513	5,847	1,995	65,388
13	554	3,033	634	87,630	72,802	5,414	1,258	5,829	1,703	71,923
14	3,872	1,905	1,234	90,599	57,492	16,895	9,290	5,396	3,710	65,238
15	3,155	2,869	702	90,482	57,944	15,527	8,575	7,790	3,247	65,303
16	2,927	2,993	1,044	90,743	64,565	12,715	5,879	5,987	3,067	69,499
17	8,304	3,096	1,810	88,655	42,921	27,050	13,200	5,128	4,316	68,825
18	2,816	2,489	1,142	90,710	65,832	13,179	5,500	4,385	3,394	73,609
19	1,236	2,122	588	90,317	70,386	8,159	3,510	6,225	2,370	68,533
20	2,210	1,979	1,500	90,552	69,053	11,025	4,301	4,143	3,298	76,066
21	6,851	3,071	1,303	89,946	45,272	24,690	12,810	6,729	4,264	64,715
22	610	2,067	695	88,850	70,478	10,093	1,549	4,321	2,476	69,462
23	1,072	2,671	1,170	89,766	66,366	13,319	2,337	5,495	3,066	73,568
24	620	1,505	1,071	88,779	67,470	13,739	1,869	3,350	3,085	72,068
25	492	1,591	590	87,278	73,946	6,432	1,131	3,241	2,169	70,550
26	433	860	1,229	89,325	56,209	27,042	1,334	2,571	3,270	65,443
27	671	1,624	912	89,003	66,976	14,240	1,855	3,783	3,178	70,305
28	1,305	2,300	1,528	88,372	51,815	26,712	3,151	4,679	4,096	72,617

Colorado House of Representatives
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary

House District	2010 Black VAP	2010 AAPI VAP	2010 Native VAP	2019 Total Population	2019 White Population	2019 Latino Population	2019 Black Population	2019 AAPI Population	2019 Native Population	2019 Total VAP
29	1,070	2,965	1,632	89,776	34,028	46,976	2,551	4,839	4,464	68,050
30	8,322	2,460	1,173	87,809	27,644	38,281	14,612	7,013	3,449	63,139
31	1,028	2,708	1,045	88,904	47,601	30,201	2,738	6,799	3,660	65,472
32	1,419	1,281	1,404	89,557	33,157	47,398	4,386	3,753	4,267	63,453
33	586	3,131	545	89,561	65,173	12,221	2,098	8,151	2,315	69,702
34	1,509	2,849	1,292	88,515	41,156	36,661	3,375	6,044	3,905	66,480
35	918	3,663	900	88,711	62,426	15,122	2,374	6,667	2,888	71,075
36	5,036	3,417	607	87,186	45,256	17,750	13,827	10,337	2,766	61,170
37	3,578	5,467	522	88,082	56,691	10,228	7,583	12,414	2,197	66,922
38	1,340	1,694	1,293	89,610	65,217	15,287	3,056	3,760	3,187	73,008
39	657	2,472	478	89,119	71,454	7,019	1,759	6,886	1,981	68,447
40	7,071	4,722	919	87,190	45,660	17,736	14,093	9,082	3,077	66,864
41	10,300	3,899	1,064	87,323	41,701	19,374	18,755	7,051	2,818	69,358
42	12,932	3,463	1,250	87,369	25,482	34,148	21,534	6,364	3,427	65,740
43	855	3,559	501	89,806	69,748	8,182	1,975	8,079	1,805	67,441
44	850	1,963	415	90,352	66,864	9,370	3,035	9,502	2,116	65,622
45	625	1,102	472	90,596	72,433	9,714	2,308	4,059	2,319	66,062
46	1,703	964	1,972	87,049	42,777	37,308	3,474	1,968	4,873	68,976
47	1,525	774	1,901	89,007	56,375	25,749	2,450	1,664	4,354	70,404
48	305	762	631	90,225	65,477	18,687	1,360	2,368	3,003	67,845
49	334	1,056	756	88,298	72,237	9,296	1,112	2,882	2,306	70,585
50	1,337	1,177	1,303	89,842	37,329	44,550	3,632	3,016	3,940	66,174
51	357	837	827	89,706	71,309	11,813	1,626	2,190	3,077	71,816
52	592	2,068	645	89,646	69,008	11,181	2,054	5,176	2,442	69,528
53	1,260	2,548	1,069	89,866	68,141	12,248	2,625	4,599	2,797	76,993
54	415	593	1,254	87,586	70,298	11,472	1,071	1,315	3,332	68,602
55	607	1,001	1,190	89,339	68,318	13,891	1,450	2,508	3,372	71,833
56	599	877	656	87,486	60,507	22,212	1,032	2,115	2,145	69,821

**Colorado House of Representatives
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary**

House District	2010 Black VAP	2010 AAPI VAP	2010 Native VAP	2019 Total Population	2019 White Population	2019 Latino Population	2019 Black Population	2019 AAPI Population	2019 Native Population	2019 Total VAP
57	401	681	963	88,533	74,711	8,500	958	1,508	2,557	71,775
58	300	614	2,124	90,581	67,889	15,491	931	1,524	5,163	71,913
59	381	667	4,415	90,601	69,416	11,130	972	1,701	7,988	73,896
60	1,475	610	1,441	89,266	73,404	8,447	2,143	1,503	3,389	74,853
61	512	786	898	87,595	58,472	24,188	1,050	1,640	2,805	67,380
62	1,031	651	2,634	88,969	38,748	44,459	2,329	1,398	5,803	68,455
63	776	851	1,020	89,764	59,281	23,759	1,883	2,544	3,578	67,591
64	2,234	503	1,132	86,949	56,181	24,775	2,803	1,133	3,398	66,401
65	1,025	527	712	89,892	71,415	12,662	1,717	1,845	2,559	67,713

Colorado House of Representatives
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary

House District	2019 White VAP	2019 Latino VAP	2019 Black VAP	2019 AAPI VAP	2019 Native VAP	2019 Latino Percentage	2019 Black Percentage	2019 AAPI Percentage	2019 Native Percentage
1	33,676	27,371	2,265	4,261	3,196	45%	4%	6%	5%
2	62,391	6,079	2,443	3,930	1,282	8%	3%	6%	2%
3	56,021	4,729	1,443	4,587	1,202	8%	2%	8%	2%
4	35,382	27,159	2,527	2,489	3,300	44%	5%	4%	5%
5	45,709	20,888	3,954	3,986	2,775	32%	6%	5%	4%
6	54,732	9,400	7,935	3,728	1,981	13%	12%	5%	3%
7	12,715	27,604	15,664	5,341	2,240	48%	26%	9%	4%
8	40,861	12,013	13,393	3,241	2,317	18%	20%	5%	3%
9	49,657	11,111	7,522	4,859	2,099	17%	11%	7%	3%
10	57,869	7,711	1,567	6,763	1,373	12%	2%	9%	2%
11	47,506	15,691	1,230	3,114	2,300	26%	2%	5%	3%
12	51,660	7,185	964	4,026	1,423	12%	2%	7%	2%
13	60,977	3,977	865	4,175	1,260	6%	1%	7%	2%
14	43,412	10,759	6,103	3,393	2,463	19%	10%	6%	4%
15	43,947	9,713	5,440	5,215	2,108	17%	9%	9%	4%
16	51,459	8,422	3,949	4,159	2,107	14%	6%	7%	3%
17	36,490	18,227	9,251	3,738	3,157	31%	15%	6%	5%
18	55,597	9,131	3,790	3,152	2,536	15%	6%	5%	4%
19	55,050	5,381	2,278	4,168	1,564	9%	4%	7%	3%
20	59,895	8,115	3,064	3,062	2,511	12%	5%	5%	4%
21	35,272	15,562	8,409	4,500	2,911	27%	14%	7%	5%
22	56,732	6,886	975	2,946	1,779	11%	2%	5%	3%
23	56,222	9,646	1,639	4,089	2,356	15%	3%	6%	3%
24	56,542	9,854	1,251	2,450	2,301	15%	2%	4%	3%
25	60,990	4,525	778	2,336	1,570	7%	1%	4%	2%
26	43,628	17,607	780	1,705	2,266	30%	1%	3%	4%
27	54,812	9,853	1,136	2,665	2,353	16%	2%	4%	4%
28	45,923	18,998	2,224	3,592	3,071	30%	4%	5%	5%

Colorado House of Representatives
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary

House District	2019 White VAP	2019 Latino VAP	2019 Black VAP	2019 AAPI VAP	2019 Native VAP	2019 Latino Percentage	2019 Black Percentage	2019 AAPI Percentage	2019 Native Percentage
29	29,262	32,067	1,646	3,672	3,229	52%	3%	5%	5%
30	22,065	25,580	10,005	4,874	2,469	44%	17%	8%	4%
31	37,689	20,106	1,673	4,614	2,573	34%	3%	8%	4%
32	26,036	31,088	2,731	2,499	3,002	53%	5%	4%	5%
33	52,619	8,332	1,370	5,776	1,672	14%	2%	9%	3%
34	34,147	24,555	2,161	4,278	2,824	41%	4%	7%	4%
35	51,914	10,746	1,584	5,004	2,155	17%	3%	8%	3%
36	33,349	11,259	9,031	7,037	1,855	20%	16%	12%	3%
37	44,842	6,867	5,242	8,844	1,561	12%	9%	14%	2%
38	55,372	10,767	2,112	2,725	2,452	17%	3%	4%	4%
39	56,301	4,747	1,138	4,666	1,425	8%	2%	8%	2%
40	37,855	11,855	9,409	6,793	2,188	20%	16%	10%	4%
41	36,636	13,409	13,134	5,391	2,108	22%	21%	8%	3%
42	22,249	22,860	15,477	4,712	2,457	39%	25%	7%	4%
43	53,929	5,426	1,286	5,415	1,238	9%	2%	9%	2%
44	50,195	6,038	1,965	6,169	1,424	10%	3%	11%	2%
45	54,407	6,094	1,421	2,577	1,599	11%	3%	4%	3%
46	36,591	26,957	2,368	1,428	3,670	43%	4%	2%	6%
47	46,665	18,357	1,800	1,127	3,322	29%	3%	2%	5%
48	51,611	12,122	778	1,516	2,069	21%	2%	3%	3%
49	59,601	6,124	696	1,968	1,664	11%	1%	3%	3%
50	31,050	29,359	2,447	2,030	2,863	50%	4%	3%	4%
51	59,206	7,816	1,005	1,575	2,240	13%	2%	2%	3%
52	55,307	7,495	1,364	3,617	1,667	12%	2%	6%	3%
53	59,903	9,345	1,949	3,886	2,179	14%	3%	5%	3%
54	56,781	7,519	643	893	2,433	13%	1%	2%	4%
55	56,893	9,635	955	1,805	2,548	16%	2%	3%	4%
56	50,861	15,314	752	1,576	1,579	25%	1%	2%	2%

Colorado House of Representatives
Population / Race Ethnicity Summary

House District	2019 White VAP	2019 Latino VAP	2019 Black VAP	2019 AAPI VAP	2019 Native VAP	2019 Latino Percentage	2019 Black Percentage	2019 AAPI Percentage	2019 Native Percentage
57	62,006	5,732	643	1,073	1,988	10%	1%	2%	3%
58	56,457	10,263	554	1,025	3,595	17%	1%	2%	6%
59	58,670	7,872	644	1,166	5,682	12%	1%	2%	9%
60	62,570	6,414	1,692	1,003	2,642	9%	2%	2%	4%
61	47,707	15,996	710	1,193	1,999	28%	1%	2%	3%
62	32,199	31,876	1,466	940	4,208	50%	3%	2%	7%
63	47,162	15,646	1,149	1,670	2,551	26%	2%	3%	4%
64	45,243	16,570	2,101	790	2,423	28%	3%	1%	4%
65	55,187	8,391	1,161	1,191	1,870	14%	2%	2%	3%
						16	7	10	5
						30%+ Latino	15%+ Black	8%+ AAPI	5%+ Native

B

Testimony- 8/24/21
Colorado Redistricting Commission
Commerce City

Good evening commission members. My name is Dr. Ernestine Garcia. I've lived in Thornton for over 20 years and lived and worked in Commerce City for over 20 years. Please address me as Dr. Garcia. My remarks will be directed to both the congressional and legislative commissions.

My community of interest is the Latino community. I agree and support the previous comments of keeping Commerce City whole and in the 8th congressional district.

The Latino Community in our area is a community of interest and should be considered for inclusion within a single district (congressional and legislative) for purposes of ensuring its **fair and effective representation**.

I am sure you are familiar with the results of the 2020 U.S. Census and know the Latino population is growing. The congressional map can keep the latino communities together in the 8th district by including Brighton which is 43% Latino, Thornton is 36%, Commerce City is 49% and then going north and including communities like Greeley which is 40% latino. By keeping our communities together, you give us a stronger voice.

As a retired Commerce City elementary school principal I know these areas have a shared interest in education. Our community needs a federal representative who can ensure we have equitable funding including Title 1, Title 3 for English Language Learners, Head Start, and higher education resources for hispanic serving institutions. The successful education of our students is a core value for the Latino community.

When drawing the lines for the legislative districts, I strongly urge you to prioritize latino representation. We must have legislators who are able to prioritize our issues at the state level. I was disappointed to see Adams County split so harshly in the legislative maps. Please keep our districts limited to just one county to ensure our communities of color are represented here in Adams County.

Protect minority voices and Latino communities of interest. You have the obligation to uplift the Latino voice and keep the Latino communities together. Not only is it your duty, it is the right thing to do.

Thank you for your consideration and service.

C

Thank all of you for allowing me to testify. These are probably long days for you. I want to praise you for the Preliminary map that you drew for District 7. These lines give us more commonality than our previous district 1, with the city of Denver.

South Jeffco is non incorporated. This is the area south of Hampden Ave. It is a very residential area, with a lot of home ownership.

The statistics in our South Jeffco area include 88% home ownership. The other 12% are obviously renters. Douglas County is about the same, Evergreen has 84% owner occupied residences/home ownership, making 16% renters. Conifer 12.7% renters. Lakewood, which is the 5th largest city in Colorado has 58% home ownership, making 42% renters. South Jeffco obviously has more commonality with Douglas County, Conifer and Evergreen.

Another Example: The median age in South Jeffco is 44 years, average age in Evergreen is 47 years, Conifer is 48 years, Douglas County is 40 years, Lakewood is 38 years. Please note the area we live in, South Jeffco is more settled. We have invested in our homes to raise a family. Renters are usually younger, more transient, and are not as invested in their homes and community.

The average age in South Jeffco is 44 years, Evergreen 46.7 years, Conifer 48.1 years, Douglas County 40 years, Lakewood is 38 years.

This tends to show the suburban area of South Jeffco is more settled . People are older and farther along in their career. They are raising a families. Want a larger residence with a yard, swing sets, play ground equipment etc. Renters are more mobile. They usually don't want a yard or a larger residence. They want to utilize their time for other events. Having a larger residence and yard is nice, these are also a lot of work. Suburban, non incorporated and rural areas want a relaxed home lifestyle.

Median household income in South Jeffco is \$113,000. Median Household income in Douglas County is \$120,000. Median household income in Evergreen is \$116,000, Conifer median household income is \$109,200, Median Household income in Lakewood is \$67,000.

South Jeffco, Evergreen, Conifer and Douglas County have much commonality. Our incomes are closer, we have fewer rentals, we are less mobile, we are closer in age, we are choosing areas in which to raise a family. We need representatives who understand, recognize, and represent our needs. Not the needs of an urban area.

I appreciate the work you have done with the Preliminary Map from June. We have more commonality with much of the area you have mapped out. Please keep the present map, or at least be sure South Jeffco is with Douglas County, Evergreen and Conifer.

Also, it is my understanding that these congressional districts are totally separate from school districts. One does not affect the other. I know that was a concern from some that have testified.